Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach B8

County	Yellowstone	**Upstream River Mile**	331.8
Classification	PCA: Partially confined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	322.7
General Location	Bull Mountain	**Length**	9.10 mi (14.65 km)
General Comments	Pompey's Pillar		

Narrative Summary

Reach B8 is located downstream of Pompey's Pillar. The Reach is 9.1 miles long and is partially confined by the valley wall with numerous forested islands. In the 1950's, the main channel flowed more closely along the north valley wall; southward migration since that time has reduced the influence of the valley wall on stream geomorphology. The valley is wide in this area, which is typical where the bounding rock units are made up of the relatively erodible Cretaceous-age Bearpaw shale.

Just over 3,000 feet of streambank are armored by rock riprap, which is about 3.3 percent of the total bankline. All of the bank armor in the reach is protecting the rail line on the south side of the river. High resolution imagery from fall 2011 indicates that at RM 328 about 570 feet of rock riprap has been flanked on the right bank against the rail line, and that the flanked rock is about 80 feet into the river off of the south bank. Currently, the river is within 100 feet of the rail line and migrating rapidly in that direction.

One side channel that is about 6,200 feet long at RM 326R was blocked prior to 1950.

Land uses in the reach are primarily agricultural, with about 1,240 acres of flood irrigated land mapped as of 2011. There are 124 acres of land in sprinkler and 86 under pivot. The modern 5-year floodplain contains about 250 acres of flood-irrigated ground.

One dump site was mapped on an old swale adjacent to a flood irrigated field at RM 326.5R.

The Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) has been developed for primarily flood irrigation; as of 2011, there were 457 acres of flood irrigated land in the CMZ, and about 7 percent of the total CMZ footprint has become restricted by bank armor and road prisms. The railroad has isolated almost 9 percent of the historic 100-year floodplain in the reach. About 22 percent of the 5-year floodplain has become isolated in Reach B8. Much of that 5-year floodplain isolation is due to transportation infrastructure on the south side of the river.

Similar to Reach B7 upstream, Reach B8 shows major southward migration of the river since 1950, with one area at RM 324.3 experiencing over 1,500 feet of migration over the past 60 years. This southward migration has threatened the rail line at RM 328R.

Overall, the migration rates and floodplain turnover rates have dropped since 1976 from 1.9 acres/valley mile/year from 1950 to 1976 to 1.5 acres/valley mile/year from 1976-2001.

Reach B8 has 91 mapped acres of Russian olive that can be found in dense stands, especially on forested islands. Even so, the extensive lateral migration of the river has promoted extensive recruitment of new woody riparian habitat. Since the 1950s there has been about 600 acres of riparian recruitment in the reach, most of which was riparian colonization of old 1950's channel area. The acreage of recruitment has exceeded that of erosion of riparian areas by 51 acres. Additionally, there are 271 mapped wetlands in the reach, including 147 acres of wet meadows and marsh. The reach contains about 33 wetland acres per valley mile, which is a relatively high value for the Yellowstone River.

Reach B8 was sampled as part of the avian study. The average species richness in this reach was 7.8, which indicates the average number of species observed during site visits to the reach in cottonwood habitats. The average species richness for sites evaluated is 8. One bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as a Potential Species of Concern was identified, the Plumbeous Vireo. Another species identified as a Species of Concern was identified, the Red-headed Woodpecker.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 28,000 cfs to 22,800 cfs, a drop of about 19 percent. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 11 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 3,040 cfs to 2,070 cfs with human development, a reduction of 32 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 3,846 cfs under unregulated conditions to 2,227 cfs under regulated conditions at the Billings gage, a reduction of 42 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach B8 include:
•Migration away from valley wall resulting in loss of bluff pool habitat.
•Blockage of one side channel at RM 326 sometime prior to 1950
•Transportation infrastructure –caused isolation of 5-year floodplain south of the river at RM 329.5

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach B8 include:
•Side channel reactivation at RM 326
•Dump removal at RM 326.5R
•Flanked armor removal at RM 328R
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Billings

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1943	Jun 21	61,200	10-25 yr
1996	Jun 12	61,900	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 27	64,800	10-25 yr
1967	Jun 16	66,100	10-25 yr
1975	Jul 7	67,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 19	69,500	25-50 yr
2011	Jul 2	70,600	25-50 yr
1918	Jun 15	78,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 12	82,000	>100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	138.7	32.6

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	28,000	51,700	63,500	70,700	85,100	90,900	104,000	3,040	3,846
Regulated	22,800	46,100	58,300	65,800	81,300	87,600	102,000	2,070	2,227
% Change	-18.57%	-10.83%	-8.19%	-6.93%	-4.47%	-3.63%	-1.92%	-31.91%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	NARA	July 9-27, 1950	B/W		6214500	29500
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6214500	5630
1995	USGS DOQQ	7/29/96 - 9/11/96	B/W		6214500	10400
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6214500	1700
2004	Merrick	5/15/04 - 5/14/04	Color	1:15,840	6214500	5960
2005	NAIP	07/14/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6214500	9730
2005	NAIP	07/09/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6214500	11100
2009	NAIP	6/29/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6214500	26200
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6214500	3860
2011	NAIP	7/16/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6214500	36000
2013	NAIP	06/16/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6214500	
2013	NAIP	06/15/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6214500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	3,209	3.3%	3,209	3.3%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**3,209**	**3.3%**	**3,209**	**3.3%**	**0**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	13,957	14.5%	13,957	14.5%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**13,957**	**14.5%**	**13,957**	**14.5%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**17,166**	**17.8%**	**17,166**	**17.8%**	**0**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	325	338	0	0	0	1,889	0	0
Totals	**325**	**338**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1,889**	**0**	**0**

Bankline/Floodplain Inventory: Time Series

The Human Impacts Timeline assessed physical feature development through time for Yellowstone, Stillwater, and Dawson Counties.

		Sum of Feature Length (ft)					
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	1995	2001	2004	2005
Irrigation							
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	0	1,314	1,314	1,314	1,314	1,314
	Totals	**0**	**1,314**	**1,314**	**1,314**	**1,314**	**1,314**
Other Off Channel							
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	0	0	2,190	2,190	2,190	2,190
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**2,190**	**2,190**	**2,190**	**2,190**
Stream Stabilization							
	Rock RipRap	1,010	1,489	2,839	2,839	2,839	2,839
	Flow Deflector	0	0	199	199	199	199
	Totals	**1,010**	**1,489**	**3,038**	**3,038**	**3,038**	**3,038**
Transportation Encroachment							
	Railroad	17,269	17,269	17,269	17,269	17,269	17,269
	Interstate	0	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402
	Totals	**17,269**	**28,670**	**28,670**	**28,670**	**28,670**	**28,670**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	51,355	76,381	2.49	1950 to 1976:	-1.05%
1976	46,802	68,389	2.46	1976 to 1995:	11.40%
1995	47,129	82,091	2.74	1995 to 2001:	-7.86%
2001	48,159	73,512	2.53	1950 to 2001:	1.57%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-3,196**	**-2,869**	**0.04**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	6,209
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	219	8.7%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	2310		2696	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	2530		3138	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**219**	**8.7%**	**442**	**21.6%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	251	0	0	**251**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
515	1,031	3,175	224	7%	63	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Railroad	224	6.9%
	Totals	**224**	**6.9%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
456.7	2.7	0.0	3.8	79.8

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	91	105	126	123	1.3%	1.5%	1.8%	1.8%
	Totals	**91**	**105**	**126**	**123**	**1.3%**	**1.5%**	**1.8%**	**1.8%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	3,613	3,313	3,245	3,057	52.2%	47.9%	46.9%	44.2%
	Irrigated	1,276	1,349	1,386	1,449	18.4%	19.5%	20.0%	20.9%
	Totals	**4,889**	**4,663**	**4,632**	**4,506**	**70.6%**	**67.4%**	**66.9%**	**65.1%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,793	1,853	1,863	1,979	25.9%	26.8%	26.9%	28.6%
	Totals	**1,793**	**1,853**	**1,863**	**1,979**	**25.9%**	**26.8%**	**26.9%**	**28.6%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	43	64	64	75	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%
	Totals	**43**	**64**	**64**	**77**	**0.6%**	**0.9%**	**0.9%**	**1.1%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	58	63	63	63	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
	Interstate	0	126	126	126	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%
	Railroad	47	47	46	46	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Totals	**105**	**236**	**235**	**235**	**1.5%**	**3.4%**	**3.4%**	**3.4%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	6	64	124	124	0.1%	1.4%	2.7%	2.8%	1.2%	1.3%	0.1%	2.6%
	Pivot	0	86	86	86	0.0%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.1%	1.9%
	Flood	1,270	1,200	1,176	1,239	26.0%	25.7%	25.4%	27.5%	-0.2%	-0.3%	2.1%	1.5%
	Totals	**1,276**	**1,349**	**1,386**	**1,449**	**26.1%**	**28.9%**	**29.9%**	**32.2%**	**2.8%**	**1.0%**	**2.2%**	**6.1%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	3,149	2,837	2,824	2,691	64.4%	60.8%	61.0%	59.7%	-3.6%	0.1%	-1.2%	-4.7%
Hay/Pasture	464	476	422	366	9.5%	10.2%	9.1%	8.1%	0.7%	-1.1%	-1.0%	-1.4%
Totals	**3,613**	**3,313**	**3,245**	**3,057**	**73.9%**	**71.1%**	**70.1%**	**67.8%**	**-2.8%**	**-1.0%**	**-2.2%**	**-6.1%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.7	1.4	1.8	2.9
Max	72.9	79.9	93.2	105.1	72.0	115.3	91.2	47.9	96.4
Average	11.4	9.2	16.0	14.8	18.9	26.8	22.4	14.2	23.0
Sum	434.1	388.1	432.9	489.6	490.4	590.2	336.0	312.8	322.4

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	378.2
Channel to Riparian (acres)	428.7
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**50.5**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	432.2
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	165.3
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**597.4**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	10.3	147.4	113.7	0.0	**271.4**
Acres/Valley Mile	1.3	18.8	14.5	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	91.16	3.23%	25.56	2.82	24.25	30.93

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

	2001 (Acres)		
Habitat	Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	247.6	121.5	6.5%
Rip Rap Bottom	82.7	24.2	1.3%
Bluff Pool	148.1	88.7	4.8%
Secondary Channel	110.2	42.9	2.3%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	392.6	227.2	12.2%
Channel Crossover	155.4	101.5	5.4%
Point Bar		66.2	3.6%
Side Bar		115.4	6.2%
Mid-channel Bar		82.8	4.4%
Island	768.7	774.6	41.6%
Dry Channel		219.0	11.7%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☐	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☐	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☐	☐	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☐	American Kestrel	☑	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☐	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☐	☐	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☑	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☐	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☐	☐	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☑	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☑	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☐	Turkey Vulture
☐	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☐	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☐	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☐	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☑	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☑	Osprey	☑	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☐	Eastern Bluebird	☐	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☑	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☑	☑	Western Kingbird
☑	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☐	Eurasian Collared-dove	☑	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☐	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☐	Bobolink	☑	☑	Field Sparrow	☐	☐	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☐	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☑	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☐	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☐	Wild Turkey
☐	☐	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☑	☑	Brown Thrasher	☐	☐	Great Blue Heron	☑	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☐	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☐	☑	Canada Goose	☑	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☑	House Finch	☐	☐	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☐	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☑	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region B

The study segment Big Horn to Laurel includes data from the people of one large county, Yellowstone County. Three themes dominate conversations with the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the evolving communities of Yellowstone County, most of which are influenced by the economic success and sheer growth of Billings. The second theme focuses on the evolving relationships that the people have with the river. While traditional agricultural activities continue in the county, many people discuss notions related to urban and residential experiences and how the river becomes an asset that improves one's quality of life as an urban dweller. The third theme involves a complex tangle of pressures and demands that require managerial strategies capable of dealing with a future that has arrived.