County	Custer	**Upstream River Mile**	185
Classification	PCS: Partially confined straight	**Downstream River Mile**	180.5
General Location	Miles City; Tongue River confluence	**Length**	4.50 mi (7.24 km)
General Comments	Miles City; Tongue River		

Narrative Summary

Reach C17 is 4.5 miles long and is in Miles City. Through town the Yellowstone River is a Partially Confined Reach type as the river flows on the north edge of town against high bluffs of the Fort Union Formation.

As of 2011 there were just under two miles of armor protecting 21 percent of the total bankline in Reach C17, including 7,300 feet of rock riprap, 2,400 feet of concrete riprap, and less than a hundred feet of flow deflectors. Over 2,700 feet of rock riprap has been constructed in the reach since 2001. Most of the armor is on the right bank through town. The rock riprap is protecting either urban areas (2,540 feet) the railroad (2,040 feet), or agricultural lands (2,400 feet). The concrete riprap is all protecting agricultural land. Reach C17 also has over three miles of mapped floodplain dikes and levees, much of which is the Miles City Levee that is on the right bank of the river through town.

Prior to 1950, about 1,500 feet of side channel was blocked in Reach C17. This channel was actually the lowermost part of the Tongue River, which was re-routed to the Yellowstone and abandoned through what is now Miles City.

Ice jams have been a major issue in Miles City. The ice jam database records 24 ice jams in Reach C17 between 1934 and 2011. Most of the jams occurred in March, with a few in February and one in April in 1950. Damages associated with the jams include damages to the Miles City dike, damaged water gages, flooding, and evacuations.

The levees in Miles City coupled with flow alterations have isolated 683 acres, or 74 percent of the 100-year floodplain in the reach. Isolation of the 5-year floodplain has been similar; 286 acres or 78 percent of the 5-year floodplain has become isolated at that frequency event. Most of the 5-year floodplain isolation is along the historic Tongue River channel that has been cut off from the river.

Bank armor and levees on the south side of the river has narrowed the natural Channel Migration Zone of the river. About 540 acres which represents 40 percent of the total CMZ has become restricted by physical features.

One dump site was mapped on the right bank just below the Highway 59 Bridge at RM 184.

As an urban reach, the riparian corridor had already been largely impacted by 1950. Since then, however, almost 100 acres of additional riparian area has been cleared, representing 23 percent of the entire 1950s riparian footprint. With this clearing, the reach has seen a substantial loss of forest area considered at low risk of cowbird parasitism. In 1950, the reach had 9.1 acres of such forest per valley mile and by 2001 that forest extent had dropped to 0 acres per valley mile.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 100-year flood has dropped by 19 percent and the 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 24 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 5,100 cfs to 3,180 cfs with human development, a reduction of 37 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,730 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,530 cfs under regulated cond8itions, a reduction of 48 percent.

Fall and winter base flows have increased in Reach C17 by about 60 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C17 include:
•Side channel blockage with urbanization
•Extensive armoring with urbanization

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C17 include:
•CMZ Management due to extent of CMZ restriction (41 percent)
•Dump removal on right bank at RM 184R
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6329500	6309000
Location	Sidney	Miles City
Period of Record	1911-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	149.7	-1.0

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		63,400	78,900	88,600	109,000	117,000	136,000	5,100	6,730
Regulated		48,200	62,700	71,300	88,000	94,400	108,000	3,180	3,530
% Change		-23.97%	-20.53%	-19.53%	-19.27%	-19.32%	-20.59%	-37.65%	-47.55%

Flow Duration

Streamflow, in ft3/s, which was equaled or exceeded for indicated percent of time

Note that these statistics are only available from Reach C10 downstream. See the USGS report for detailed information.

Season		5%	50%	95%
Spring	Unregulated	62,000	23,300	6,430
	Regulated	47,800	13,900	4,640
	% Change	**-23%**	**-40%**	**-28%**
Summer	Unregulated	44,200	14,000	6,730
	Regulated	33,300	8,550	3,530
	% Change	**-25%**	**-39%**	**-48%**
Fall	Unregulated	9,390	5,740	2,340
	Regulated	10,800	7,100	3,750
	% Change	**15%**	**24%**	**60%**
Winter	Unregulated	12,400	5,170	2,080
	Regulated	13,100	6,240	3,330
	% Change	**6%**	**21%**	**60%**
Annual	Unregulated	46,700	8,300	2,870
	Regulated	34,900	7,640	3,740
	% Change	**-25%**	**-8%**	**30%**

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	8/25/97 - 7/10/98	B/W		6309000	15400
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6309000	3500
2005	NAIP	08/05/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	5620
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	7/17/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	23300
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/16/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	57900
2013	NAIP	07/19/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	4,580	9.7%	7,294	15.5%	2,714
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	92	0.2%	92
	Concrete RipRap	2,401	5.1%	2,398	5.1%	-3
	Feature Type Totals	**6,981**	**14.8%**	**9,784**	**20.8%**	**2,803**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	4,563	9.7%	4,563	9.7%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	19,101	40.6%	19,101	40.6%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**23,664**	**50.3%**	**23,664**	**50.3%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**30,645**	**65.2%**	**33,448**	**71.1%**	**2,803**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Concrete RipRap	833	954	610	0	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	0	0	0	0	0	2,040	2,539	0
Totals	**833**	**954**	**610**	**0**	**0**	**2,040**	**2,539**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



Jam Date	Jam Type	River Mile	Damages
3/10/1934	NA	184	?
3/22/1939	NA	184	?
3/23/1941	NA	184	?
3/26/1943	NA	184	?
3/20/1944	NA	184	Flooding and evacuations
3/2/1946	Break-up	184	?
3/20/1947	Break-up	184	?
3/26/1949	NA	184	?
4/6/1950	NA	184	?
3/26/1951	NA	184	?
3/26/1956	NA	184	?
2/21/1958	NA	184	?
3/13/1959	NA	184	?
3/19/1960	NA	184	?
2/17/1962	NA	184	?
2/1/1971	Break-up	184	Levee threatened by erosion
3/1/1972	Break-up	184	Dike damage
3/8/1994	NA	184	Miles City dike damaged
2/8/1996	Break-up	184	Damaged water gauges
2/18/1997	NA	184	Flooding in low-lands, dike damaged
3/5/2009	Break-up		
3/16/2010	Break-up		
3/12/2011	Break-up		
3/13/2011			

GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	23,304	16,353	1.70	1950 to 1976:	7.47%
1976	23,247	19,269	1.83	1976 to 1995:	-4.93%
1995	23,408	17,291	1.74	1995 to 2001:	-2.59%
2001	23,507	16,305	1.69	1950 to 2001:	-0.48%
Change 1950 - 2001	**202**	**-48**	**-0.01**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	1,466
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	47	5.1%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	636	69.1%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	237		529	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	919		788	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**683**	**74.3%**	**259**	**77.9%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	0	0	0	**0**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
145	291	930	146	16%	407	394	97%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Irrigated	58	4.3%
Dike/Levee			
	Urban Residential	482	35.9%
	Totals	**540**	**40.3%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
64.1	0.0	0.0	294.4	2.6

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	31	60	63	65	0.8%	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%
	Totals	**31**	**60**	**63**	**65**	**0.8%**	**1.5%**	**1.6%**	**1.6%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,186	1,056	983	930	29.3%	26.1%	24.3%	23.0%
	Irrigated	825	705	655	609	20.4%	17.4%	16.2%	15.0%
	Totals	**2,011**	**1,762**	**1,638**	**1,539**	**49.7%**	**43.5%**	**40.5%**	**38.0%**
Channel									
	Channel	713	710	691	694	17.6%	17.5%	17.1%	17.1%
	Totals	**713**	**710**	**691**	**694**	**17.6%**	**17.5%**	**17.1%**	**17.1%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	23	23	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	7	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	38	87	87	0.0%	0.9%	2.1%	2.1%
	ExUrban Commercial	16	16	17	17	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
	ExUrban Residential	15	212	250	344	0.4%	5.2%	6.2%	8.5%
	Totals	**30**	**266**	**384**	**477**	**0.7%**	**6.6%**	**9.5%**	**11.8%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	35	36	36	36	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	52	52	25	25	1.3%	1.3%	0.6%	0.6%
	Totals	**87**	**87**	**61**	**61**	**2.1%**	**2.2%**	**1.5%**	**1.5%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	19	19	51	51	0.5%	0.5%	1.2%	1.2%
	Urban Residential	738	719	767	767	18.2%	17.8%	18.9%	18.9%
	Urban Commercial	164	164	165	165	4.0%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%
	Urban Undeveloped	129	31	0	0	3.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	128	233	230	230	3.2%	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%
	Totals	**1,177**	**1,165**	**1,212**	**1,212**	**29.1%**	**28.8%**	**29.9%**	**29.9%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Flood	825	705	655	609	41.0%	40.0%	40.0%	39.6%	-1.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	-1.4%
	Totals	**825**	**705**	**655**	**609**	**41.0%**	**40.0%**	**40.0%**	**39.6%**	**-1.0%**	**0.0%**	**-0.4%**	**-1.4%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,139	935	873	781	56.6%	53.1%	53.3%	50.8%	-3.5%	0.2%	-2.5%	-5.9%
Hay/Pasture	47	121	111	149	2.4%	6.9%	6.7%	9.7%	4.5%	-0.1%	2.9%	7.3%
Totals	**1,186**	**1,056**	**983**	**930**	**59.0%**	**60.0%**	**60.0%**	**60.4%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.4%**	**1.4%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.2	0.3	4.5	3.2	3.2
Max	14.7	13.0	10.4	83.0	49.5	38.0	90.5	76.8	66.6
Average	5.4	4.0	4.3	20.5	11.5	13.1	34.7	20.5	19.8
Sum	27.2	36.4	25.8	225.5	173.0	221.9	173.3	122.8	119.0

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	19.0
Channel to Riparian (acres)	69.1
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**50.1**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	69.7
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	8.7
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**78.4**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	18.5	48.4	0.7	0.0	**67.6**
Acres/Valley Mile	4.6	12.0	0.2	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	66.49	2.63%	26.91	12.61	19.55	20.45

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

	2001 (Acres)		
Habitat	Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	37.2	34.2	4.9%
Rip Rap Bottom	44.9	28.3	4.1%
Bluff Pool	196.2	173.0	25.0%
Secondary Channel	13.0	15.0	2.2%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	59.9	30.8	4.5%
Channel Crossover	102.2	91.2	13.2%
Point Bar		2.3	0.3%
Side Bar		29.7	4.3%
Mid-channel Bar		25.4	3.7%
Island	236.6	236.6	34.2%
Dry Channel		23.4	3.4%
Confluence Area	1.0	1.0	0.1%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.