Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach PC21

County	Park	**Upstream River Mile**	481
Classification	PCA: Partially confined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	478.8
General Location	To Springdale	**Length**	2.20 mi (3.54 km)
General Comments			

Narrative Summary

Reach PC21 is the downstream-most reach in Park County, emerging from a narrow canyon just above Springdale. The reach is 2.2 miles long, and is classified as Partially Confined Anabranching, reflecting some influence of the valley wall on channel form coupled by islands and side channels. At the upstream end of the reach, the Hunters Hot Springs Canal Diversion diverts water along the left bank of the river where it flows along the valley wall. This canal carries water about 11 miles down the river valley.

Reach PC21 is fairly heavily armored, with over a mile of bank armor in the reach, and most of that is rock riprap. Most of the armor is on the right bank against the railroad line, but there is also armor protecting the Hunters Hot Springs Canal Diversion as well as hayfields along the left bank. In the lower end of the reach the left bank is a high terrace that has bedrock exposed at its toe.

The primary land use in Reach PC21 is non-irrigated agriculture, although there are 266 acres of ground under pivot irrigation. All of the pivot irrigation is well out of the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ). The Springdale Bridge Fishing Access Site is located in at the downstream end of the reach at Springdale Bridge. The bridge narrows the CMZ width from about 2,500 feet upstream to 1,000 feet downstream of the structure. Just upstream of the bridge, there are remnants of an older bridge, including a large pier in the river. Bedrock is exposed in the riverbed just upstream of the bridge.

About 90 acres of wetlands have been mapped in Reach PC21 and about 18 of those acres consist of emergent wetlands in low historic floodplain area that has been isolated from the river by the railroad and interstate. Although the Russian olive mapping shows 0.2 acres of RO in the reach, some of that had been eroded out by the river by fall 2011.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been relatively small in this reach. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,730 cfs to 1,570 cfs with human development, a reduction of 9.3 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach PC21 include:
•Corridor confinement by transportation infrastructure.
•Emergent wetlands located in isolated floodplain area.
•Narrowing of CMZ by Springdale Bridge.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach PC21 include:
•CMZ Management due to current restriction of 19 percent of the Channel Migration Zone
•Bank Stabilization Recommended Practices due to 27 percent of banks being armored in reach
•Irrigation diversion structure management at Hunters Hot Springs Canal diversion.

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	114.4	25.6

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	11,400	22,400	28,100	31,700	38,900	41,800	48,500	1,730	1,760
Regulated	11,100	22,000	27,800	31,400	38,600	41,600	48,400	1,570	1,680
% Change	-2.63%	-1.79%	-1.07%	-0.95%	-0.77%	-0.48%	-0.21%	-9.25%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
2005	NAIP	08/26/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2320
2005	NAIP	08/25/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2390
2009	NAIP	7/16/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	8450
2011	NAIP	8/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5170
2013	NAIP	08/31/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	6,101	25.5%	6,270	26.2%	169
	Flow Deflectors	60	0.3%	123	0.5%	62
	Feature Type Totals	**6,161**	**25.7%**	**6,393**	**26.7%**	**232**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	15,601	65.1%	15,612	65.1%	12
	Feature Type Totals	**15,601**	**65.1%**	**15,612**	**65.1%**	**12**
	Reach Totals	**21,762**	**90.8%**	**22,005**	**91.8%**	**244**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	11,658	14,314	2.23	1950 to 1976:	
1976	1,552			1976 to 1995:	
1995				1995 to 2001:	
2001	11,983	14,978	2.25	1950 to 2001:	1.00%
Change 1950 - 2001	**325**	**664**	**0.02**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)				
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)				
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)				
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)				
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)				
Railroad				
Abandoned Railroad				
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)				
Total Not Isolated (Ac)				
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)				
Total Isolated (Ac)				

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:				

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
131	261	328	56	17%	11	9	82%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Public Road	25	7.3%
RipRap			
	Railroad	30	8.7%
	Irrigated	11	3.1%
	Totals	**65**	**19.2%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
9.5	0.0	0.0	6.7	22.9

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	18	20	20	20	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	0	17	28	54	0.0%	1.4%	2.3%	4.4%
	Totals	**18**	**37**	**48**	**74**	**1.5%**	**3.0%**	**3.9%**	**6.0%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	770	623	555	496	63.0%	51.0%	45.4%	40.6%
	Irrigated	148	264	291	336	12.1%	21.6%	23.8%	27.5%
	Totals	**918**	**887**	**846**	**832**	**75.1%**	**72.6%**	**69.2%**	**68.1%**
Channel									
	Channel	235	231	249	237	19.3%	18.9%	20.4%	19.4%
	Totals	**235**	**231**	**249**	**237**	**19.3%**	**18.9%**	**20.4%**	**19.4%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	7	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	13	13	15	15	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	1.2%
	Totals	**13**	**13**	**21**	**21**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**	**1.7%**	**1.7%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	28	3	6	6	2.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%
	Interstate	0	43	43	43	0.0%	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%
	Railroad	10	9	9	9	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Totals	**38**	**55**	**58**	**58**	**3.1%**	**4.5%**	**4.8%**	**4.8%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	9	9	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%
	Pivot	0	155	224	257	0.0%	17.5%	26.5%	30.8%	17.5%	9.0%	4.4%	30.8%
	Flood	148	109	58	70	16.1%	12.3%	6.8%	8.4%	-3.8%	-5.5%	1.6%	-7.7%
	Totals	**148**	**264**	**291**	**336**	**16.1%**	**29.8%**	**34.4%**	**40.3%**	**13.6%**	**4.6%**	**5.9%**	**24.2%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	540	518	506	477	58.8%	58.4%	59.8%	57.3%	-0.4%	1.4%	-2.5%	-1.5%
Hay/Pasture	230	105	49	20	25.1%	11.9%	5.8%	2.4%	-13.2%	-6.0%	-3.5%	-22.7%
Totals	**770**	**623**	**555**	**496**	**83.9%**	**70.2%**	**65.6%**	**59.7%**	**-13.6%**	**-4.6%**	**-5.9%**	**-24.2%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	1.9	61.8	25.6	0.0	**89.3**
Acres/Valley Mile	1.0	31.4	13.0	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.17	0.23%	1.07	0.03	0.02	0.06

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A1

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	478.8
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	475.4
General Location	Springdale	**Length**	3.40 mi (5.47 km)
General Comments	Springdale: Low primary sinuosity; large open bar area; extensive armoring		

Narrative Summary

Reach A1 is located just downstream of the Springdale Bridge in western-most Sweet Grass County. It is a Partially Confined Braided (PCB) reach type, indicating some influence of the valley wall on river geomorphology, as well as abundant un-vegetated mid-channel bars. The reach is 3.4 miles long. This reach is most prominently characterized by a large meander located at RM 478 that has been very dynamic over recent years. The meander bend has repeatedly migrated to the north and then cut off, leaving broad open gravel bars and a wide active channel corridor. The bendway has been heavily armored on its apex, and partially armored on its downstream limb. With all of the changes at this meander, there has been a net gain of total channel area in the reach of about 50 acres since 1950.

There are about 6,800 feet of rock riprap in the reach, over 1,500 feet of which was constructed since 2001. Several flow deflectors have been eroded out in Reach A1 since 2001. About 25 percent of the bankline was armored as of 2011. There are also over 6,800 feet of mapped transportation encroachment in the river corridor, most of which is the rail line that follows the south bank.

Although the rail line runs along the edge of the river, it is situated on higher terraces and as such has not isolated any 100-year historic floodplain area. However, about 9 percent of the total Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) footprint has become restricted, and these restrictions are due to armoring against both the rail line and irrigated fields. This demonstrates how terraces that may be out of the 100-year floodplain can still be prone to erosion and thus within the CMZ.

The primary land use in the reach is non-irrigated agriculture (~1,100 acres), although there are about 650 acres under some form of irrigation. Pivot irrigation has expanded from 0 acres in 1950 to 302 acres in 2011. Similarly, sprinkler irrigation has expanded from 0 to 250 acres during the same time frame, and the extent of flood irrigated lands dropped from 803 to 123 acres over those 61 years. About 46 acres of land under sprinkler and 10 acres of land under pivot are located within the CMZ.

About 120 acres of wetland have been mapped in the reach, with most of that (84 acres) emergent wetland marsh that is located primarily in the active stream corridor. About 20 acres of wetland have been isolated from the corridor by the rail line near RM 477.8. About 0.7 acres of Russian olive have been mapped in the reach, and these trees are dispersed throughout the corridor.

Hydraulic modeling of the reach shows an extensive network of floodplain channels on the floodplain in Reach A1 that creates some avulsion risk north of the river. Much of the armoring on the large meander at RM 478 has reduced the risk of an avulsion and potential bypass of the Prather Mayborn Westfall Ditch Diversion. In addition, one of the overflow channels has been allowed to activate, which has reduced the potential for additional avulsions. The strategic allowance of channel migration and secondary channel activation has prevented the creation of a severe pinch point at RM 477.4 that may have created long-term instability in the reach.

A large dike at RM 476.7 blocks a ~3,000-foot long side channel and focuses the river towards the south bank and the Prather Mayborn Westfall Ditch Diversion. Although the dike blocks the head of the channel, it is still seasonally accessed by other overflow points from the main river.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,750 cfs to 1,570 cfs with human development, a reduction of 10.3 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A1 include:
•Strategic allowance of side channel activation to reduce overall avulsion risk
•Isolation of emergent wetlands by transportation infrastructure
•Blockage of a 3,000-foot long side channel to focus flows to a diversion structure.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A1 include:
•CMZ management due to level of restriction and avulsion risks on north floodplain
•Bank Stabilization Recommended Practices due to current extent of bank armoring (25 percent of total bankline)
•Irrigation diversion structure management at Prather Mayborn Westfall
•Wetland management/restoration due to high wetland concentrations

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	111.0	27.8

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	11,900	23,300	29,200	32,900	40,300	43,400	50,300	1,750	1,760
Regulated	11,500	22,900	28,800	32,500	40,000	43,200	50,100	1,570	1,680
% Change	-3.36%	-1.72%	-1.37%	-1.22%	-0.74%	-0.46%	-0.40%	-10.29%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	5-Jul-48	B/W	1:23,600	6192500	9810
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS-DOQ	23-Aug-97	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	4840
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	08/25/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2390
2007	Woolpert	29-Jun-05	Color	1:	6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/16/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	8450
2011	NAIP	8/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5170
2013	NAIP	08/31/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	5,160	14.5%	6,839	19.2%	1,678
	Flow Deflectors	1,406	3.9%	573	1.6%	-832
	Between Flow Deflectors	995	2.8%	1,518	4.3%	523
	Feature Type Totals	**7,561**	**21.2%**	**8,930**	**25.1%**	**1,370**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	6,845	19.2%	6,845	19.2%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	331	0.9%	331	0.9%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**7,176**	**20.1%**	**7,176**	**20.1%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**14,737**	**41.3%**	**16,107**	**45.2%**	**1,370**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Flow Deflectors/Between FDs	0	522	0	0	0	895	0	0
Totals	**0**	**522**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**895**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	18,968	6,571	1.35	1950 to 1976:	9.83%
1976	18,838	9,020	1.48	1976 to 1995:	25.57%
1995	17,553	15,040	1.86	1995 to 2001:	-9.38%
2001	17,825	12,169	1.68	1950 to 2001:	24.97%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-1,143**	**5,598**	**0.34**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	2,970

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	344		422	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	344		435	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**0**	**0.0%**	**13**	**7.4%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	0	5	0	**5**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
190	379	582	82	14%	157	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Railroad	2	0.3%
RipRap/Flow Deflectors			
	Railroad	28	3.8%
	Irrigated	30	4.0%
RipRap			
	Railroad	6	0.8%
	Totals	**66**	**8.9%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
15.7	46.1	10.0	0.0	9.8

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	16	16	16	16	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	36	33	65	93	1.5%	1.4%	2.8%	4.0%
	Totals	**52**	**50**	**81**	**109**	**2.2%**	**2.1%**	**3.5%**	**4.7%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,189	1,207	1,152	1,112	51.3%	52.1%	49.7%	47.9%
	Irrigated	803	766	700	678	34.7%	33.1%	30.2%	29.3%
	Totals	**1,993**	**1,973**	**1,852**	**1,790**	**86.0%**	**85.1%**	**79.9%**	**77.2%**
Channel									
	Channel	220	243	298	332	9.5%	10.5%	12.9%	14.3%
	Totals	**220**	**243**	**298**	**332**	**9.5%**	**10.5%**	**12.9%**	**14.3%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	5	5	5	5	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**0.2%**	**0.2%**	**0.2%**	**0.2%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	28	28	14	14	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%
	Interstate	0	0	48	48	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%
	Railroad	20	20	20	20	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
	Totals	**48**	**48**	**82**	**82**	**2.1%**	**2.1%**	**3.5%**	**3.5%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	260	254	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	14.2%	0.0%	14.0%	0.2%	14.2%
	Pivot	0	0	287	302	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	16.9%	0.0%	15.5%	1.4%	16.9%
	Flood	803	766	153	123	40.3%	38.8%	8.3%	6.8%	-1.5%	-30.6%	-1.4%	-33.5%
	Totals	**803**	**766**	**700**	**678**	**40.3%**	**38.8%**	**37.8%**	**37.9%**	**-1.5%**	**-1.1%**	**0.1%**	**-2.4%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,119	1,059	1,100	1,046	56.2%	53.7%	59.4%	58.5%	-2.5%	5.7%	-0.9%	2.3%
Hay/Pasture	70	147	52	65	3.5%	7.5%	2.8%	3.6%	3.9%	-4.6%	0.8%	0.1%
Totals	**1,189**	**1,207**	**1,152**	**1,112**	**59.7%**	**61.2%**	**62.2%**	**62.1%**	**1.5%**	**1.1%**	**-0.1%**	**2.4%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.3	0.4	14.7	16.0	6.2
Max	20.0	11.9	5.3	219.1	149.9	171.1	14.7	29.8	26.6
Average	8.3	3.6	2.6	39.0	28.0	23.4	14.7	24.2	18.9
Sum	49.7	21.7	20.7	312.2	223.7	233.7	14.7	72.6	56.8

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	71.8
Channel to Riparian (acres)	26.4
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-45.5**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	7.4	84.3	38.0	0.0	**129.8**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.3	26.0	11.7	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.67	0.18%	1.19	0.03	0.03	0.01

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives

Reach A2

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	475.4
Classification	UB: Unconfined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	468.5
General Location	Grey Bear fishing access	**Length**	6.90 mi (11.10 km)
General Comments	Grey Bear fishing access		

Narrative Summary

Reach A2 is 6.9 miles long and extends from about one mile below the Prather Mayborn Westfall Ditch Diversion to about a mile below the Grey Bear fishing access. Reach A2 is classified as Unconfined Braided (UB), indicating a relatively small influence of the valley wall on reach geomorphology as well as a preponderance of open gravel bars in the channel. Reach A2 has changed markedly since the 1950s due to loss of riparian forest and side channel length.

As a consequence of its unconfined and dynamic nature, there are over two miles of rock riprap in the reach that cover almost 18 percent of the total bankline. Of those 10,633 feet of rock riprap, 1,673 feet was constructed since 2001. The physical features mapping also indicated 945 feet of tree revetments in the reach in 2001, however these were not identified in the 2011 mapping. This is the most upstream-reach with mapped concrete rubble riprap; there are over 1,000 feet of concrete riprap on the left bank at RM 474.6.

Sometime prior to 1950, one 3,125 foot long channel was blocked at RM 473. In 1950, there were still over 6 miles of active anabranching channels, but by 2011 that side channel length had dropped to 4 miles, resulting in a 15 percent reduction of braiding parameter in the reach.

There is also intermittent transportation encroachment by the railroad on the south side of the river. The transportation encroachment, which is due to the rail line, extends over two miles along the south bank and isolates 23 acres of historic floodplain. Similarly, 140 acres of the natural Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) area has been restricted by bank armor and the railroad prism.

Floodplain turnover values show that turnover rates have dropped from 4.5 acres per year to 3.7 acres per year since 1976. The channel has also enlarged by over 30 acres as anabranching channels have consolidated into a larger single thread. About 23 acres of 100-year floodplain area has been isolated by dikes.

Land uses in Reach A2 are primarily agriculture, with about ½ of the total agricultural land in some form of irrigation. About 26 acres of the existing 5-year floodplain are currently under irrigation, most of which is in flood.

Over 300 acres of wetland have mapped in the reach, most of which is emergent marsh-type areas. About 40 acres of emergent wetland are in an area of historic floodplain isolated by the railroad at RM 471.2. Approximately ½ of an acre of Russian olive was mapped in Reach A2.

Reach A2 has had extensive riparian clearing over the last century. In 1950, there were 431 acres of closed timber in the reach, and that footprint had contracted to 275 acres by 2001. Almost 12 acres of riparian forest in the reach per valley mile have been identified as being at low risk of cowbird parasitism due to the distance of those areas from agricultural infrastructure.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,760 cfs to 1,580 cfs with human development, a reduction of 10.2 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A2 include:
•Blockage of over 3,000 feet of side channel prior to 1950
•Passive abandonment of over two additional miles of side channel since 1950.
•Loss of over 150 acres of closed timber since 1950, most of which is in the 5-year floodplain.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A2 include:
•Side Channel Restoration (RM 473)
•CMZ management due to extent of encroachment (140acres restricted)

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	104.1	31.2

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	11,900	23,300	29,200	32,900	40,300	43,400	50,300	1,760	1,760
Regulated	11,500	22,900	28,800	32,500	40,000	43,200	50,100	1,580	1,680
% Change	-3.36%	-1.72%	-1.37%	-1.22%	-0.74%	-0.46%	-0.40%	-10.23%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	5-Jul-48	B/W	1:23,600	6192500	9810
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	23-Aug-97	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	4840
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	08/25/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2390
2007	Woolpert		Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/16/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	8450
2011	NAIP	8/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5170
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	
2013	NAIP	08/31/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Tree Revetments	945	1.3%	0	0.0%	-945
	Rock RipRap	10,633	14.6%	12,306	16.9%	1,673
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	154	0.2%	154
	Concrete RipRap	0	0.0%	1,015	1.4%	1,015
	Feature Type Totals	**11,578**	**15.9%**	**13,475**	**18.5%**	**1,897**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	12,335	16.9%	12,335	16.9%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	1,169	1.6%	1,169	1.6%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**13,504**	**18.5%**	**13,504**	**18.5%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**25,082**	**34.4%**	**26,979**	**37.0%**	**1,897**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	2,729	2,588	1,204	3,093	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	925	2,870	0	0	0	2,352	0	0
Totals	**3,654**	**5,458**	**1,204**	**3,093**	**0**	**2,352**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	38,287	33,176	1.87	1950 to 1976:	-7.11%
1976	36,820	27,020	1.73	1976 to 1995:	-4.04%
1995	36,672	24,344	1.66	1995 to 2001:	-4.33%
2001	36,483	21,587	1.59	1950 to 2001:	-14.72%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-1,805**	**-11,588**	**-0.27**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	3,125
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	23	3.1%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	723		853	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	747		870	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**23**	**3.1%**	**16**	**4.1%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	26	0	0	**26**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
213	425	1,096	139	13%	130	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Railroad	19	1.5%
RipRap			
	Public Road	8	0.7%
	Other Infrastructure	13	1.1%
	Non-Irrigated	38	3.1%
	Irrigated	40	3.2%
	Canal	20	1.6%
	Agricultural Roads	3	0.3%
	Totals	**140**	**11.5%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
87.8	0.8	4.5	0.0	5.4

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	55	55	54	54	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	86	119	129	163	1.9%	2.6%	2.8%	3.6%
	Totals	**141**	**174**	**183**	**218**	**3.1%**	**3.8%**	**4.0%**	**4.8%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,699	1,339	1,531	1,505	37.3%	29.4%	33.6%	33.0%
	Irrigated	2,015	2,327	2,114	2,044	44.2%	51.1%	46.4%	44.9%
	Totals	**3,713**	**3,667**	**3,646**	**3,549**	**81.5%**	**80.5%**	**80.1%**	**77.9%**
Channel									
	Channel	608	622	575	623	13.4%	13.7%	12.6%	13.7%
	Totals	**608**	**622**	**575**	**623**	**13.4%**	**13.7%**	**12.6%**	**13.7%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.3%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	52	52	49	49	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
	Interstate	0	0	62	62	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%
	Railroad	40	40	40	40	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
	Totals	**92**	**91**	**150**	**150**	**2.0%**	**2.0%**	**3.3%**	**3.3%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	14	95	94	0.0%	0.4%	2.6%	2.6%	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%	2.6%
	Pivot	0	0	454	737	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%	20.8%	0.0%	12.4%	8.3%	20.8%
	Flood	2,015	2,314	1,565	1,213	54.3%	63.1%	42.9%	34.2%	8.8%	-20.2%	-8.7%	-20.1%
	Totals	**2,015**	**2,327**	**2,114**	**2,044**	**54.3%**	**63.5%**	**58.0%**	**57.6%**	**9.2%**	**-5.5%**	**-0.4%**	**3.3%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,400	1,127	1,374	1,348	37.7%	30.7%	37.7%	38.0%	-7.0%	6.9%	0.3%	0.3%
Hay/Pasture	298	212	158	156	8.0%	5.8%	4.3%	4.4%	-2.2%	-1.5%	0.1%	-3.6%
Totals	**1,699**	**1,339**	**1,531**	**1,505**	**45.7%**	**36.5%**	**42.0%**	**42.4%**	**-9.2%**	**5.5%**	**0.4%**	**-3.3%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.5	2.7	2.2
Max	20.4	13.8	13.6	56.5	51.0	35.6	18.5	42.6	39.2
Average	3.7	3.3	3.4	13.5	10.1	11.5	7.6	14.4	11.1
Sum	66.2	69.9	106.7	430.9	352.8	275.2	45.9	100.9	121.8

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	131.1
Channel to Riparian (acres)	100.5
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-30.6**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	17.0	257.8	80.9	0.0	**355.7**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.6	39.9	12.5	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.44	0.10%	1.38	0.12	0.04	0.04

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A3

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	468.5
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	463
General Location	Upstream of Big Timber	**Length**	5.50 mi (8.85 km)
General Comments	Upstream of Big Timber; Hell Creek Formation valley wall		

Narrative Summary

Reach A3 is 5.5 miles long and is just located upstream of the town of Big Timber. It is classified as a Partially Confined Braided (PCB) reach type indicating some valley wall influence and relative extensive open gravel bars and low flow secondary channels. This reach shows the passive loss of miles of anabranching channel length since 1950, similar to Reach A2 just upstream. The river has converted from having more than one primary channel to having a dominant main thread with intermittent side channels.

About 12.5 percent of the banks in Reach A3 are armored, with the majority of that armor being rock riprap. Between 2001 and 2011, about 1,700 feet of new bank armor, of which 277 feet are flow deflectors, were installed. There are about 2,000 feet of floodplain dikes in the reach.

Similar to Reach A2 just upstream, this reach has experienced extensive loss of anabranching channel length since 1950. In 1950, the total length of anabranching channels was 6.7 miles, and by 2001 that length had dropped to 4.7 miles, resulting in a reduction in braiding parameter of 17 percent.

Reach A3 shows a reduction in floodplain turnover rates since 1976; prior to that time, average rates of turnover were 103 acres per year, and since that time the average rate of floodplain erosion by the river has been reduced to 65.4 acres per year.

Land use in Reach A3 is predominantly agricultural, with about ½ of all agricultural acreage in flood irrigation. Approximately 13 percent of the 5-year floodplain has been isolated in the reach. This isolation reflects the slight reduction in the magnitude flows in this reach due primarily to irrigation-related withdrawals upstream.

Over 600 acres of wetland have been mapped in Reach A3, most of which is emergent marshes and wet meadows on the south side of the river. The 4.6 acres of Russian olive mapped is dispersed throughout the riparian corridor.

Almost 50 acres of riparian forest per valley mile is considered at low risk of cowbird infestation due to its relative distance from agricultural infrastructure that provides cowbird foraging habitat.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 11,900 cfs to 11,500 cfs, a drop of about 3.4 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,770 cfs to 1,580 cfs with human development, a reduction of 11 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A3 include:
•Passive abandonment of over two miles of side channel since 1950.
•Conversion from a river channel with multiple large primary channels to a single main thread with small anabranches.
•Reduced floodplain turnover rates.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A3 include:
•Russian olive removal
•Wetland management/restoration due to high density of mapped emergent wetland

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	98.6	38.1

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	11,900	23,300	29,200	32,900	40,300	43,400	50,300	1,770	1,760
Regulated	11,500	22,900	28,800	32,500	40,000	43,200	50,100	1,580	1,680
% Change	-3.36%	-1.72%	-1.37%	-1.22%	-0.74%	-0.46%	-0.40%	-10.73%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	5-Jul-48	B/W	1:23,600	6192500	9810
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	8/23/97 - 8/28/97	B/W		6192500	4840
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	08/25/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2390
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/16/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	8450
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2013	NAIP	08/25/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	5,474	9.7%	6,765	12.0%	1,291
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	277	0.5%	277
	Feature Type Totals	**5,474**	**9.7%**	**7,043**	**12.5%**	**1,568**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	1,949	3.5%	1,971	3.5%	22
	Feature Type Totals	**1,949**	**3.5%**	**1,971**	**3.5%**	**22**
	Reach Totals	**7,424**	**13.2%**	**9,013**	**16.0%**	**1,590**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	1,092	3,592	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tree Revetments	945	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**2,037**	**3,592**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	27,827	35,195	2.26	1950 to 1976:	-4.80%
1976	28,236	32,641	2.16	1976 to 1995:	-14.37%
1995	28,264	23,919	1.85	1995 to 2001:	1.97%
2001	28,191	24,882	1.88	1950 to 2001:	-16.87%
Change 1950 - 2001	**364**	**-10,312**	**-0.38**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	864		882	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	864		895	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**0**	**0.0%**	**13**	**2.5%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	104	0	0	**104**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
217	435	994	67	7%	170	21	12%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap/Flow Deflectors			
	Non-Irrigated	27	2.3%
RipRap			
	Non-Irrigated	40	3.4%
	Irrigated	33	2.8%
	Totals	**99**	**8.5%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
167.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	7	12	22	22	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%
	Totals	**7**	**12**	**22**	**22**	**0.2%**	**0.3%**	**0.6%**	**0.6%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,558	1,351	1,315	1,311	43.2%	37.4%	36.5%	36.3%
	Irrigated	1,492	1,627	1,668	1,670	41.4%	45.1%	46.3%	46.3%
	Totals	**3,050**	**2,977**	**2,984**	**2,981**	**84.6%**	**82.6%**	**82.7%**	**82.7%**
Channel									
	Channel	546	611	594	597	15.1%	16.9%	16.5%	16.6%
	Totals	**546**	**611**	**594**	**597**	**15.1%**	**16.9%**	**16.5%**	**16.6%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	1	3	4	4	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	2	2	2	2	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Totals	**3**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**0.1%**	**0.2%**	**0.2%**	**0.2%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Flood	1,492	1,627	1,668	1,670	48.9%	54.6%	55.9%	56.0%	5.7%	1.3%	0.1%	7.1%
	Totals	**1,492**	**1,627**	**1,668**	**1,670**	**48.9%**	**54.6%**	**55.9%**	**56.0%**	**5.7%**	**1.3%**	**0.1%**	**7.1%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,249	1,114	1,226	1,224	41.0%	37.4%	41.1%	41.1%	-3.5%	3.7%	0.0%	0.1%
Hay/Pasture	308	237	89	87	10.1%	7.9%	3.0%	2.9%	-2.2%	-5.0%	-0.1%	-7.2%
Totals	**1,558**	**1,351**	**1,315**	**1,311**	**51.1%**	**45.4%**	**44.1%**	**44.0%**	**-5.7%**	**-1.3%**	**-0.1%**	**-7.1%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	1.1	0.0	1.4	0.5	0.1	0.9		2.0	9.0
Max	43.3	29.5	38.3	116.9	108.3	104.6		20.9	32.0
Average	15.8	4.4	10.8	12.8	13.2	20.5		11.5	17.9
Sum	142.0	74.5	97.3	358.4	410.6	347.8		23.0	53.7

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	83.0
Channel to Riparian (acres)	75.0
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-8.0**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	0.0
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	7.8
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**7.8**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	5.1	558.7	86.5	0.0	**650.3**
Acres/Valley Mile	1.1	120.5	18.7	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	4.64	0.30%	0.64	1.66	0.92	0.67

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A4

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	463
Classification	UB: Unconfined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	459.7
General Location	Big Timber	**Length**	3.30 mi (5.31 km)
General Comments	To Boulder River confluence; encroachment at Big Timber; extensive armor		

Narrative Summary

Reach A4 is approximately 3.3 miles long, extending from near the Sweet Grass County Fairgrounds downstream to the Boulder River confluence. Reach A4 is very dynamic with active channel migration, threats to infrastructure, bank armor, flanked barbs, and active riparian recruitment on raw gravel bars. The most dynamic portion of the reach is upstream of the Highway 191 Bridge; in spring of 2013 a large meander formed a 1,500 foot long chute cutoff near the fairgrounds which abandoned about 3,500 feet of channel to the south.

About 19 percent of the banks in Reach A4 are armored, with the majority of that armor being rock riprap. Between 2001 and 2011, there was a loss of about 1,000 feet of armor in the reach. Over 800 feet of that lost bank protection was flow deflectors; flanked barbs are visible in the middle of the channel downstream of the fairgrounds. With the avulsion of 2013, those flanked barbs are now sitting in the abandoned channel. Similar to reaches upstream, the river channel in Reach A4 has increased in size since 1950 by about 19 acres, and the channel expansion has been at the expense of riparian cover. Almost a quarter of the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) has been restricted by physical features, and the restrictions are primarily due to bank armor that is protecting agricultural land.

Since 1950, over 7,500 feet of side channels in Reach A4 have been blocked by berms, which have caused a 25 percent drop in braiding parameter for the reach. Russian olive has colonized these historic channels. Like many other reaches the loss of active side channels in this reach has been accompanied by a lengthening of the main thread. Between 1950 and 2001, the main channel lengthened by about 1,000 feet through the 3.3 mile reach.

Land use in Reach A4 is predominantly agricultural, although there are several hundred acres of urban/exurban development associated with the town of Big Timber. Most of the agricultural land is non-irrigated; however there are hundreds of acres of flood, sprinkler, and pivot irrigation in the reach. Almost 150 acres of irrigated ground are within the 5-year floodplain in Reach A4, and most of that commonly flooded ground is south of the fairgrounds. This area also has most of the 160 acres of mapped wetlands in the reach.

There is one mapped dump site in Reach A4, which is on the high terrace edge at Big Timber. There is also one major petroleum product pipeline in the reach that runs parallel to the river on its north side. The pipeline is owned by ConocoPhillips, and passes under both Big Timber Creek and Otter Creek within 1,500 feet of the Yellowstone River.

Almost 200 acres of land in Reach A4 are within the mapped Channel Migration Zone. This includes 83 acres of flood, 42 acres of sprinkler, and 37 acres of pivot. A total of 21 acres of land in the CMZ has been developed to urban/exurban use.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 11,900 cfs to 11,500 cfs, a drop of about 3.4 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,880 cfs to 1,620 cfs with human development, a reduction of 14 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A4 include:
•Restriction of the Historic Migration Zone (HMZ) isolating side channels and reducing riparian turnover.
•Primary channel lengthening in association with loss of side channels.
•Rapid migration and channel realignment resulting in barb flanking and abandonment of rock in channel.
•Isolation of historic channels (over 7,500 feet) by berms.
•Russian olive colonization within isolated side channels.
•Riparian recruitment (cottonwood establishment) on islands created by channel migration.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A4 include:
•Removal of flanked armor at RM 462.3
•Side channel restoration/management (RM 461.2, RM 462)
•CMZ management due to encroachment (200 acres restricted)
•Russian olive removal (2.7 acres)
•Solid waste removal from dump on right bank at RM 461
•Pipeline management at Big Timber Creek and Otter Creek tributary crossings just north of Yellowstone River.

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	95.3	43.6

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	11,900	23,300	29,200	32,900	40,300	43,400	50,300	1,880	1,760
Regulated	11,500	22,900	28,800	32,500	40,000	43,200	50,100	1,620	1,680
% Change	-3.36%	-1.72%	-1.37%	-1.22%	-0.74%	-0.46%	-0.40%	-13.83%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	7/5/1948 - 7/13/51	B/W	1:23,600	6192500	9810
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	8/28/97 - 9/11/96	B/W		6192500	4840
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	08/25/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2390
2005	NAIP	08/21/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2630
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	
2013	NAIP	08/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	6,311	17.2%	6,143	16.8%	-168
	Flow Deflectors	449	1.2%	352	1.0%	-98
	Between Flow Deflectors	1,337	3.7%	581	1.6%	-757
	Feature Type Totals	**8,097**	**22.1%**	**7,075**	**19.3%**	**-1,022**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	429	1.2%	429	1.2%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	986	2.7%	986	2.7%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**1,415**	**3.9%**	**1,415**	**3.9%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**9,512**	**26.0%**	**8,490**	**23.2%**	**-1,022**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Flow Deflectors/Between FDs	0	0	1,788	0	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	272	1,312	466	0	0	0	1,351	456
Totals	**272**	**1,312**	**2,253**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1,351**	**456**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	17,375	16,020	1.92	1950 to 1976:	-8.19%
1976	17,028	13,019	1.76	1976 to 1995:	-17.10%
1995	18,251	8,448	1.46	1995 to 2001:	-2.22%
2001	18,302	7,877	1.43	1950 to 2001:	-25.58%
Change 1950 - 2001	**928**	**-8,143**	**-0.49**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	7,575

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	481		565	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	481		573	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**0**	**0.0%**	**9**	**2.7%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	95	41	14	**150**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
376	753	779	169	22%	12	12	100%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Public Road	19	2.4%
RipRap			
	Urban Residential	5	0.6%
	Non-Irrigated	52	6.6%
	Irrigated	50	6.4%
	Exurban Residential	20	2.6%
Dike/Levee			
	Non-Irrigated	37	4.6%
	Totals	**183**	**23.1%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans- portation
84.9	42.2	37.6	20.5	5.8

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	113	146	129	139	3.7%	4.8%	4.2%	4.6%
	Totals	**113**	**146**	**129**	**139**	**3.7%**	**4.8%**	**4.2%**	**4.6%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,219	1,431	1,249	1,273	40.2%	47.2%	41.2%	42.0%
	Irrigated	1,162	858	900	882	38.3%	28.3%	29.7%	29.1%
	Totals	**2,381**	**2,289**	**2,148**	**2,155**	**78.5%**	**75.5%**	**70.9%**	**71.1%**
Channel									
	Channel	278	283	292	299	9.2%	9.3%	9.6%	9.9%
	Totals	**278**	**283**	**292**	**299**	**9.2%**	**9.3%**	**9.6%**	**9.9%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	28	19	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	14	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	22	22	36	44	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%	1.5%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	42	42	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%
	Totals	**22**	**23**	**120**	**105**	**0.7%**	**0.7%**	**3.9%**	**3.5%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	42	42	45	45	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%
	Interstate	0	0	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	19	19	19	19	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
	Totals	**61**	**61**	**64**	**64**	**2.0%**	**2.0%**	**2.1%**	**2.1%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	61	60	78	78	2.0%	2.0%	2.6%	2.6%
	Urban Commercial	50	89	142	120	1.7%	2.9%	4.7%	4.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	65	63	53	66	2.2%	2.1%	1.8%	2.2%
	Urban Industrial	0	17	5	5	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**177**	**229**	**278**	**269**	**5.8%**	**7.6%**	**9.2%**	**8.9%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	208	195	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	9.0%	0.0%	9.7%	-0.7%	9.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	14.0%
	Flood	1,162	858	692	385	48.8%	37.5%	32.2%	17.9%	-11.3%	-5.3%	-14.3%	-30.9%
	Totals	**1,162**	**858**	**900**	**882**	**48.8%**	**37.5%**	**41.9%**	**40.9%**	**-11.3%**	**4.4%**	**-1.0%**	**-7.9%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,027	1,167	1,123	1,128	43.1%	51.0%	52.3%	52.3%	7.9%	1.3%	0.1%	9.2%
Hay/Pasture	192	263	125	145	8.1%	11.5%	5.8%	6.7%	3.4%	-5.7%	0.9%	-1.3%
Totals	**1,219**	**1,431**	**1,249**	**1,273**	**51.2%**	**62.5%**	**58.1%**	**59.1%**	**11.3%**	**-4.4%**	**1.0%**	**7.9%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.5	0.1	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.5	0.7	3.9	5.6
Max	5.1	23.0	7.3	57.5	40.4	48.0	8.9	9.7	12.3
Average	2.4	2.7	3.6	18.4	11.3	14.7	5.6	6.4	8.4
Sum	22.0	46.6	21.7	275.5	181.0	205.3	22.6	19.1	25.2

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	78.5
Channel to Riparian (acres)	42.7
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-35.8**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	0.0
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	19.7
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**19.7**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	3.7	140.0	20.5	0.0	**164.1**
Acres/Valley Mile	1.3	47.6	7.0	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	2.70	0.35%	1.27	1.16	1.36	0.92

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A5

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	459.7
Classification	UB: Unconfined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	456.4
General Location	Big Timber Creek	**Length**	3.30 mi (5.31 km)
General Comments	Low Qat1 terrace on right bank		

Narrative Summary

Reach A5 is approximately 3.3 miles long, and is located just below Big Timber near the Otter Creek Fishing Access Site starting just below the mouth of the Boulder River. Reach A5 is shows low migration rates and has a relatively narrow CMZ as a result. Similar to other reaches in Region A, the channel footprint has enlarged since 1950; in this reach the channel shows continual expansion from 1950 to 2001 of about 24 acres. This has been accompanied by a loss of 16 acres of riparian area in the main river corridor.

About 7 percent of the banks in Reach A5 are armored by rock riprap. Another 250 feet of bank is protected by tree revetments which are unusual on the Yellowstone River.

Land use in Reach A5 is predominantly agricultural, although there over 60 acres of urban/exurban development on the outskirts of Big Timber. Most of the agricultural land is non-irrigated, although there are almost 400 acres of ground under flood irrigation and another 150 acres under pivot. There are corrals associated with an Animal Holding Facility on the left bank of the river at RM 459.

Reach A5 has substantial irrigated land in the Channel Migration Zone. Land use mapping for 2011 conditions show 62 acres of flood, 2 acres of sprinkler, and 9 acres of pivot irrigated land within the CMZ boundary.

Reach A5 has seen almost a quarter (18 acres) of its riparian corridor converted to developed land uses since 1950. Most of that (17 acres) was conversion to irrigation.

Over 170 acres of wetland have been mapped in Reach A5. Most of the wetland area is on the eastern portion of the large alluvial fan formed at the mouth of the Boulder River, where there are open water wetlands and wet marsh areas.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 12,600 to 12,100 cfs, a drop of about 4 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,910 cfs to 1,630 cfs with human development, a reduction of 15 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A5 include:
•Riparian clearing in support of irrigation.
•Presence of corrals on the edge of the corridor at RM 459.
•Extensive wetland complex on low alluvial ground at the toe of a terrace.
•Encroachment of irrigated land into Channel Migration Zone.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A5 include:
•Nutrient management at corrals at RM 459
•Wetland management/restoration due to extent of emergent marsh (>170 acres)

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	92.0	46.9

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	12,600	24,500	30,800	34,600	42,400	45,500	52,700	1,910	1,760
Regulated	12,100	24,000	30,300	34,100	42,000	45,200	52,500	1,630	1,680
% Change	-3.97%	-2.04%	-1.62%	-1.45%	-0.94%	-0.66%	-0.38%	-14.66%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	13-Jul-51	B/W	1:28,400	6192500	9640
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	11-Sep-96	B/W		6192500	2560
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	08/21/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2630
2005	NAIP	07/28/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3380
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Tree Revetments	248	0.7%	248	0.7%	0
	Rock RipRap	1,266	3.7%	2,117	6.2%	851
	Feature Type Totals	**1,514**	**4.4%**	**2,365**	**6.9%**	**851**
	Reach Totals	**1,514**	**4.4%**	**2,365**	**6.9%**	**851**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	2,342	0	282	0	0	0	0	0
Tree Revetments	0	0	249	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**2,342**	**0**	**531**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	17,866	9,054	1.51	1950 to 1976:	4.15%
1976	16,871	9,604	1.57	1976 to 1995:	1.96%
1995	17,021	10,213	1.60	1995 to 2001:	-5.93%
2001	17,021	8,598	1.51	1950 to 2001:	-0.11%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-845**	**-456**	**0.00**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	96		214	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	96		215	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**0**	**0.0%**	**1**	**-31.1%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	1	0	0	**1**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
113	225	428	11	3%	0	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Other Infrastructure	10	2.3%
	Irrigated	6	1.4%
	Totals	**16**	**3.7%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
62.9	1.9	9.2	6.7	0.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	18	34	62	63	1.0%	1.9%	3.4%	3.5%
	Totals	**18**	**34**	**62**	**63**	**1.0%**	**1.9%**	**3.4%**	**3.5%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	847	798	936	893	46.6%	43.9%	51.5%	49.1%
	Irrigated	734	746	543	554	40.4%	41.1%	29.9%	30.5%
	Totals	**1,581**	**1,544**	**1,479**	**1,447**	**87.0%**	**85.0%**	**81.4%**	**79.7%**
Channel									
	Channel	210	219	236	235	11.5%	12.0%	13.0%	13.0%
	Totals	**210**	**219**	**236**	**235**	**11.5%**	**12.0%**	**13.0%**	**13.0%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	5	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	3	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	1	13	18	18	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	6	33	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.8%
	Totals	**1**	**13**	**32**	**64**	**0.0%**	**0.7%**	**1.8%**	**3.5%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	4	4	4	4	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	3	3	3	3	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**7**	**7**	**7**	**7**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	8	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
	Pivot	0	0	78	154	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	10.7%	0.0%	5.2%	5.4%	10.7%
	Flood	734	746	465	392	46.4%	48.3%	31.5%	27.1%	1.9%	-16.8%	-4.4%	-19.4%
	Totals	**734**	**746**	**543**	**554**	**46.4%**	**48.3%**	**36.7%**	**38.3%**	**1.9%**	**-11.6%**	**1.6%**	**-8.1%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	690	772	885	852	43.6%	50.0%	59.8%	58.9%	6.4%	9.8%	-0.9%	15.3%
Hay/Pasture	157	26	51	40	9.9%	1.7%	3.4%	2.8%	-8.3%	1.8%	-0.6%	-7.1%
Totals	**847**	**798**	**936**	**893**	**53.6%**	**51.7%**	**63.3%**	**61.7%**	**-1.9%**	**11.6%**	**-1.6%**	**8.1%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.3	0.1	0.1	1.7	1.4	0.7	3.8	1.3	6.9
Max	1.8	2.3	1.5	8.4	10.6	17.1	11.9	7.1	6.9
Average	1.1	0.8	0.8	4.3	4.4	5.1	7.5	4.8	6.9
Sum	2.2	6.6	3.3	55.9	61.1	51.3	29.8	14.5	6.9

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	24.2
Channel to Riparian (acres)	8.3
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-15.9**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	6.3	157.3	9.5	0.0	**173.2**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.1	52.8	3.2	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.21	0.08%	0.28	0.08	0.01	0.00

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A6

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	456.4
Classification	PCS: Partially confined straight	**Downstream River Mile**	453.3
General Location	Below Big Timber	**Length**	3.10 mi (4.99 km)
General Comments	Channel closely follows left valley wall		

Narrative Summary

Reach A6 is approximately 3.1 miles long, and is located below Big Timber. The reach is classified as Partially Confined Straight (PCS), which indicates some valley wall influences on river form and minimal meandering. Within this reach, the river consistently follows the northern bluff line of the river valley which is comprised of Cretaceous-age Hell Creek Formation sandstones and mudstones. The other side of the river consists of low floodplain and terrace deposits. Because of the valley wall confinement, migration rates are low in the reach and the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) is narrow.

Similar to other reaches in Region A, the overall footprint of the river channel has increased in size since 1950. In 1950, the channel footprint was 161 acres but by 2001 it had expanded to 202 acres.

About 7 percent of the banks in Reach A6 are armored, and most of that bank protection is flow deflectors (2,165 feet). There is another 650 feet of rock riprap, all of which was constructed between 2001 and 2011.

One side channel in Reach A6 was blocked prior to 1950. It is about 2,700 feet long and is blocked by a dike as well as flow deflectors along the bank. The side channel currently hosts riverine and emergent wetland areas.

Land use in Reach A6 is predominantly agricultural, although there almost 200 acres of exurban development on the low terraces between the river and I-90. Most of the agricultural land is non-irrigated, although there are 760 acres of ground under flood irrigation and another 64 acres under pivot. A total of 35 acres of flood irrigated land are in the Channel Migration Zone.

Reach A6 has seen 28 percent (18 acres) of its riparian corridor converted to developed land uses since 1950. Most of that (17 acres) was conversion to irrigation.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 12,600 to 12,100 cfs, a drop of about 4 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,910 cfs to 1,630 cfs with human development, a reduction of 15 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

The reduction in flows is evident by the contraction of the 5-year floodplain area in Reach A6 by 4.8 acres, or 30 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A6 include:
•Riparian clearing in support of irrigation.
•Side Channel Blockage
•Contraction of 5-year floodplain due to flow alterations.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A6 include:
•Side channel restoration at RM 454.5

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	88.9	50.2

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	12,600	24,500	30,800	34,600	42,400	45,500	52,700	1,910	1,760
Regulated	12,100	24,000	30,300	34,100	42,000	45,200	52,500	1,630	1,680
% Change	-3.97%	-2.04%	-1.62%	-1.45%	-0.94%	-0.66%	-0.38%	-14.66%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	13-Jul-51	B/W	1:28,400	6192500	9640
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	11-Sep-96	B/W		6192500	2560
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	07/28/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3380
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	0	0.0%	648	2.1%	648
	Flow Deflectors	580	1.9%	633	2.0%	52
	Between Flow Deflectors	1,544	4.9%	1,533	4.9%	-11
	Feature Type Totals	**2,124**	**6.8%**	**2,814**	**9.0%**	**690**
Other In Channel						
	Bedrock Outcrop	157	0.5%	157	0.5%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**157**	**0.5%**	**157**	**0.5%**	**0**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	7,844	25.0%	7,844	25.0%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**7,844**	**25.0%**	**7,844**	**25.0%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**10,125**	**32.3%**	**10,815**	**34.5%**	**690**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Flow Deflectors/Between FDs	1,968	0	0	0	0	0	0	154
Totals	**1,968**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**154**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	15,359	1,749	1.11	1950 to 1976:	-10.23%
1976	15,307		1.00	1976 to 1995:	9.11%
1995	15,523	1,414	1.09	1995 to 2001:	-2.30%
2001	15,675	1,034	1.07	1950 to 2001:	-4.30%
Change 1950 - 2001	**316**	**-715**	**-0.05**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	2,691
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	103		213	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	103		218	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**0**	**0.0%**	**5**	**30.5%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	1	0	0	**1**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
146	292	304	14	5%	30	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Irrigated	6	1.8%
Flow Deflectors			
	Irrigated	14	4.2%
	Totals	**20**	**6.0%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
35.4	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.5

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	17	22	22	6	0.8%	1.1%	1.1%	0.3%
	Totals	**17**	**22**	**22**	**6**	**0.8%**	**1.1%**	**1.1%**	**0.3%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	885	947	805	714	43.8%	46.8%	39.8%	35.3%
	Irrigated	936	870	834	825	46.3%	43.0%	41.2%	40.8%
	Totals	**1,822**	**1,817**	**1,639**	**1,539**	**90.0%**	**89.8%**	**81.0%**	**76.0%**
Channel									
	Channel	166	165	181	202	8.2%	8.1%	8.9%	10.0%
	Totals	**166**	**165**	**181**	**202**	**8.2%**	**8.1%**	**8.9%**	**10.0%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	37	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	104	162	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	8.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**104**	**199**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**5.1%**	**9.8%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	7	7	7	7	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
	Interstate	0	0	58	58	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%
	Railroad	13	13	13	13	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
	Totals	**19**	**19**	**77**	**77**	**0.9%**	**0.9%**	**3.8%**	**3.8%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	64	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	4.2%
	Flood	936	870	834	761	51.4%	47.9%	50.9%	49.5%	-3.5%	3.0%	-1.4%	-1.9%
	Totals	**936**	**870**	**834**	**825**	**51.4%**	**47.9%**	**50.9%**	**53.6%**	**-3.5%**	**3.0%**	**2.7%**	**2.2%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	855	738	791	681	46.9%	40.6%	48.3%	44.3%	-6.3%	7.7%	-4.0%	-2.7%
Hay/Pasture	30	210	13	32	1.7%	11.5%	0.8%	2.1%	9.9%	-10.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Totals	**885**	**947**	**805**	**714**	**48.6%**	**52.1%**	**49.1%**	**46.4%**	**3.5%**	**-3.0%**	**-2.7%**	**-2.2%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.7	0.1	2.9	0.6	0.3	0.3	20.4	17.1	2.2
Max	17.0	5.4	2.9	18.0	13.3	10.8	53.8	25.3	23.9
Average	5.2	1.2	2.9	5.2	3.7	3.8	37.1	21.2	10.6
Sum	46.7	8.2	2.9	26.0	29.6	15.0	74.2	42.4	42.3

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	10.7
Channel to Riparian (acres)	4.2
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-6.5**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	14.3	23.3	1.1	0.0	**38.6**
Acres/Valley Mile	5.1	8.3	0.4	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.11	0.01%	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A7

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	453.3
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	443.6
General Location	Greycliff	**Length**	9.70 mi (15.61 km)
General Comments	Greycliff: Narrow valley bottom with alluvial fan margins		

Narrative Summary

Reach A7 is approximately 9.7 miles long, and is at Greycliff. The reach is classified as Partially Confined Braided (PCB), which indicates some valley wall influences on river form and relatively extensive gravel bars and low flow channel complexity. Within this reach, the river intermittently follows the northern bluff line of the river valley which is comprised of Cretaceous-age Hell Creek Formation sandstones and mudstones. The other side of the river valley consists of low floodplain and terrace deposits. In several places, such as at Greycliff Bridge, the terrace toe is sandstone. Several tributaries enter the river in this reach, including Sweet Grass Creek and Deer Creek.

Similar to other reaches in Region A, the overall footprint of the river channel has increased in size since 1950. In 1950, the channel footprint was 613 acres but by 2001 it had expanded to 723 acres.

As of 2011, about 12 percent of the banks in Reach A7 were armored, and most of that bank protection is rock riprap (11,254 feet). There are also 1,500 feet of flow deflectors in the reach. Between 2001 and 2011, about 2,400 feet of riprap and 230 feet of flow deflectors were constructed. There are also minor amounts of gabions and steel retaining wall in the reach.

Reach A7 has experienced the loss of thousands of feet of side channels both pre- and post- 1950. Prior the collection of the 1950s imagery, a channel that was almost a mile long was blocked in multiple places. The land that this blocked side channel is about ½ mile downstream of the Greycliff Bridge on the right bank and is part of the Pelican Fishing Access Site. Currently, only the downstream portion of this channel has good definition; the upper end has largely decayed. Since 1950, side channels have been blocked at RM 445 and RM 452. Both of these side channels were relatively small features that flowed on the south side of the river corridor. In total, 4,600 feet of channel were blocked post-1950. Since 1950 there has been a net loss of about 9,000 feet of side channel in the reach, indicating some passive loss as well as loss due to blockages.

In contrast to the general trend on the river, floodplain turnover rates in Reach A7 have increased since 1976. From 1950-1976 the average floodplain turnover rate in this reach was 3.4 acres per year, and from 1976-2001, that rate had increased to 5.5 acres per year.

Land use in Reach A7 is predominantly agricultural, although there almost 140 acres of exurban development on the low terraces between the river and I-90. Transportation infrastructure also comprises almost 300 acres of the mapping footprint. Most of the agricultural land is non-irrigated, although there are 1,500 acres of ground under flood irrigation, 225 acres under sprinkler and another 914 acres under pivot. A total of 267 acres of developed land are in the Channel Migration Zone. Most of that is in flood irrigation (196 acres), but 51 acres are in pivot. At RM 450, pivots extend to the active streambank on both sides of the river. About 10 percent of the CMZ is restricted by physical features.

Reach A7 has seen 5 percent (33 acres) of its riparian corridor converted to developed land uses since 1950. Most of that (23 acres) was conversion to irrigation. Currently, there are about 26 acres of land under pivot irrigation within the mapped 5-year floodplain.

Reach A7was sampled as part of the avian study. The average species richness in Reach A7 was 9.9, which indicates the average number of species observed during site visits to the reach in cottonwood habitats. The average species richness for sites evaluated is 8. One bird Species of Concern (SOC), the Bobolink, was identified in the reach. Three bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were also found, including the Chimney Swift, Dickscissel, and Ovenbird.

On area in Reach A7 that has become persistently problematic is the Greycliff Bridge at RM 448.5. Bank migration upstream of the bridge has approached 1,000 feet of lateral movement since 1950. Bank armor has been flanked and now sits In the middle of the river. The county road that lies in the CMZ has been threatened; it was treated with buried revetment that has become exposed in recent years. Efforts are ongoing to develop an optimal strategy to funnel the river meanderbelt through the bridge without disrupting sediment transport patterns and causing accelerated erosion.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 13,200 cfs to 12,700 cfs, a drop of about 4 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 2,000 cfs to 1,670 cfs with human development, a reduction of 17 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

The reduction in flows is evident by the contraction of the 5-year floodplain area in Reach A7 by 62 acres, or 25 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A7 include:
•Flanking of armor and accelerated erosion behind.
•Side Channel Blockage
•Contraction of 5-year floodplain due to flow alterations.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A7 include:
•Side channel restoration RM 452, RM 447.9, RM 445
•Bank armor removal upstream of Greycliff Bridge
•CMZ management due to encroachment of pivots

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	79.2	53.3

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	13,200	25,600	32,100	36,000	44,100	47,400	54,800	2,000	1,760
Regulated	12,700	25,100	31,600	35,500	43,700	47,100	54,600	1,670	1,680
% Change	-3.79%	-1.95%	-1.56%	-1.39%	-0.91%	-0.63%	-0.36%	-16.50%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	6/15/1951 - 7/12/51	B/W	1:28,400	6192500	13700
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	9/11/96 - 8/28/97	B/W		6192500	2560
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	07/28/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3380
2005	NAIP	07/27/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3540
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color		6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2011	NAIP	7/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	13100
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Steel Retaining Wall	33	0.0%	33	0.0%	0
	Rock RipRap	8,917	8.5%	11,255	10.8%	2,338
	Gabions	797	0.8%	797	0.8%	0
	Flow Deflectors	305	0.3%	531	0.5%	226
	Between Flow Deflectors	977	0.9%	977	0.9%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**11,028**	**10.6%**	**13,592**	**13.0%**	**2,564**
Other In Channel						
	Bedrock Outcrop	74	0.1%	74	0.1%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**74**	**0.1%**	**74**	**0.1%**	**0**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	10,046	9.6%	10,046	9.6%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**10,046**	**9.6%**	**10,046**	**9.6%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**21,148**	**20.2%**	**23,712**	**22.7%**	**2,564**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Gabions	0	0	797	0	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	4,943	3,241	656	656	0	1,187	0	0
Totals	**4,943**	**3,241**	**1,453**	**656**	**0**	**1,187**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	51,418	30,696	1.60	1950 to 1976:	14.62%
1976	51,762	42,983	1.83	1976 to 1995:	-19.10%
1995	52,381	25,182	1.48	1995 to 2001:	-4.54%
2001	52,254	21,606	1.41	1950 to 2001:	-11.49%
Change 1950 - 2001	**836**	**-9,090**	**-0.18**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	4,756
Post-1950s (ft)	4,610

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	13	1.6%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	780		911	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	793		973	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**13**	**1.6%**	**62**	**24.8%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	5	0	25	**31**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
240	481	1,597	147	9%	68	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Public Road	7	0.4%
RipRap/Flow Deflectors			
	Irrigated	20	1.2%
RipRap			
	Railroad	16	1.0%
	Non-Irrigated	54	3.2%
	Irrigated	37	2.2%
Other			
	Public Road	3	0.2%
	Other Infrastructure	11	0.6%
Flow Deflectors			
	Irrigated	16	1.0%
	Totals	**164**	**9.9%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
195.9	0.0	50.6	5.1	15.4

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	1	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
	Other Infrastructure	78	107	161	161	1.2%	1.6%	2.4%	2.4%
	Totals	**78**	**107**	**162**	**168**	**1.2%**	**1.6%**	**2.5%**	**2.6%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	3,626	3,238	2,560	2,551	55.2%	49.3%	38.9%	38.8%
	Irrigated	2,027	2,203	2,663	2,604	30.8%	33.5%	40.5%	39.6%
	Totals	**5,653**	**5,441**	**5,224**	**5,155**	**86.0%**	**82.8%**	**79.5%**	**78.4%**
Channel									
	Channel	716	760	763	817	10.9%	11.6%	11.6%	12.4%
	Totals	**716**	**760**	**763**	**817**	**10.9%**	**11.6%**	**11.6%**	**12.4%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	5	9	13	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	6	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	8	12	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
	ExUrban Residential	17	20	107	113	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%	1.7%
	Totals	**17**	**25**	**130**	**138**	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**2.0%**	**2.1%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	64	83	87	87	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
	Interstate	0	112	162	162	0.0%	1.7%	2.5%	2.5%
	Railroad	46	46	46	46	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Totals	**110**	**241**	**295**	**296**	**1.7%**	**3.7%**	**4.5%**	**4.5%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	250	224	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	4.4%	0.0%	4.8%	-0.4%	4.4%
	Pivot	0	0	941	914	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%	17.7%	0.0%	18.0%	-0.3%	17.7%
	Flood	2,027	2,203	1,473	1,466	35.9%	40.5%	28.2%	28.4%	4.6%	-12.3%	0.2%	-7.4%
	Totals	**2,027**	**2,203**	**2,663**	**2,604**	**35.9%**	**40.5%**	**51.0%**	**50.5%**	**4.6%**	**10.5%**	**-0.5%**	**14.7%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	2,832	2,620	2,113	2,085	50.1%	48.2%	40.5%	40.5%	-1.9%	-7.7%	0.0%	-9.6%
Hay/Pasture	794	618	447	465	14.0%	11.4%	8.6%	9.0%	-2.7%	-2.8%	0.5%	-5.0%
Totals	**3,626**	**3,238**	**2,560**	**2,551**	**64.1%**	**59.5%**	**49.0%**	**49.5%**	**-4.6%**	**-10.5%**	**0.5%**	**-14.7%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.8	2.7	0.1
Max	36.8	28.6	15.4	87.2	87.7	80.3	38.2	48.3	40.8
Average	6.8	5.0	4.8	18.2	8.2	14.2	14.2	21.0	11.7
Sum	136.8	75.3	100.0	417.7	391.6	382.4	99.3	105.0	93.2

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	112.5
Channel to Riparian (acres)	108.7
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**-3.8**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	0.0
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	5.4
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**5.4**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	14.1	56.6	42.5	0.0	**113.2**
Acres/Valley Mile	1.6	6.2	4.7	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.51	0.05%	0.77	0.04	0.19	0.02

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☑	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☐	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☐	Lark Bunting	☐	☐	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☑	☑	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☐	American Kestrel	☑	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☐	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☐	☐	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☑	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☐	☐	Bald Eagle	☐	☐	Common Nighthawk	☐	☐	Mallard	☐	☐	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☑	☑	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☑	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☐	Turkey Vulture
☐	☐	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☐	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☐	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☐	Black-billed Cuckoo	☑	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☐	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☑	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☐	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☐	☐	Plumbeous Vireo	☐	☑	Western Kingbird
☑	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☐	Eurasian Collared-dove	☐	☐	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☐	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☑	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☑	☑	Bobolink	☐	☑	Field Sparrow	☐	☐	Red Crossbill	☐	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☐	Franklin's Gull	☐	☐	Ring-necked Pheasant	☐	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☐	Grasshopper Sparrow	☐	☐	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☐	Wild Turkey
☐	☐	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☐	Rock Dove	☐	☐	Wood Duck
☐	☑	Brown Thrasher	☐	☐	Great Blue Heron	☑	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☐	Great Horned Owl	☐	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☐	☐	Canada Goose	☑	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☐	☐	Say's Phoebe	☑	☑	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☑	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included. There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach A8

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	443.6
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	438.5
General Location	Bridger Creek	**Length**	5.10 mi (8.21 km)
General Comments	Floodplain isolation behind interstate and R/R		

Narrative Summary

Reach A8 is 5.1 miles long, and is at Bridger Creek. The reach is classified as Partially Confined Braided (PCB), which indicates some valley wall influences on river form and relatively extensive gravel bars and low flow channel complexity. Within this reach, the river intermittently follows the northern bluff line of the river valley which is comprised of Cretaceous-age Hell Creek Formation sandstones and mudstones. The other side of the river valley consists of low floodplain and terrace deposits. The Bratten fishing access site is located in the lower end of the reach.

Similar to other reaches in Region A, the overall footprint of the river channel has increased in size since 1950. In 1950, the channel footprint was 436 acres but by 2001 it had expanded to 482 acres.

As of 2011, about 10 percent of the banks in Reach A8 were armored by almost 4,000 feet of rock riprap and 1,400 feet of flow deflectors. There is also a ~760 foot long retaining wall on the right bank at the very upstream most end of the reach that protects several structures. At Rm 441.1, rock riprap on both sides of the river has constricted the channel corridor to essentially the width of the active channel, which is about 550 feet. Physical features also occupy the floodplain; over three miles of transportation encroachment and 1,800 feet of floodplain dikes have been mapped in the reach. Transportation infrastructure and agriculture-related dikes have isolated 25 percent of the historic 100-year floodplain in the reach.

Reach A8 has experienced the loss of almost a mile of side channel since the 1950s due to dike construction. All of the side channel loss is from one project at the mouth of Bridger Creek, where the lower portion of the creek was channelized downstream of the I-90 Bridge. This channelization included re-routing the creek through a channelized section to an active side channel of the Yellowstone River. The channelization included construction of a dike that guides Bridger Creek into the side channel, and blocks the side channel at the intersection, essentially turning the lower portion of the side channel into lowermost Bridger Creek. The channelization of lower Bridger Creek occurred between 1950 and 1976.

Even though Reach A8 has experienced some side channel loss, it still supports extensive side channel length. As of 2001 there were 6.6 miles of active side channel in the 5.1 mile long reach.

Land use in Reach A8 is predominantly agricultural, although there almost 230 acres of transportation-related development in the mapping footprint. Most of the agricultural land is non-irrigated, although there are 900 acres of ground under flood irrigation and 56 acres under pivot. A total of 236 acres of developed land are in the Channel Migration Zone. Most of that is in flood irrigation (211 acres), but 8 acres are in pivot and 4 are in exurban development. About 16 percent of the CMZ is restricted by physical features.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 13,700 cfs to 13,000 cfs, a drop of about 5 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 2,020 cfs to 1,670 cfs with human development, a reduction of 17 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

The reduction in flows is evident by the contraction of the 5-year floodplain area in Reach A8 by 24 acres, or 11 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A8 include:
•Side channel loss as part of tributary channelization
•Isolation of 25 percent of historic 100-year floodplain primary due to transportation infrastructure
•Contraction of 5-year floodplain due to flow alterations.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A8 include:
•Side channel restoration at RM 442
•Floodplain restoration/reconnection on south side of interstate at RM 439.5
•CMZ management due to extent of CMZ restriction (16 percent)

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	74.1	63.0

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	13,700	26,600	33,200	37,300	45,600	49,000	56,700	2,020	1,760
Regulated	13,000	25,800	32,400	36,600	45,000	48,500	56,400	1,670	1,680
% Change	-5.11%	-3.01%	-2.41%	-1.88%	-1.32%	-1.02%	-0.53%	-17.33%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	7/12/1951 - 6/15/51	B/W	1:28,400	6192500	13700
1976	USCOE	28-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	9/26/97 - 9/11/96	B/W		6192500	2560
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	07/27/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3540
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color	NA	6192500	
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	7/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	13100
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Steel Retaining Wall	758	1.4%	758	1.4%	0
	Rock RipRap	3,697	6.9%	3,970	7.4%	274
	Flow Deflectors	451	0.8%	431	0.8%	-21
	Between Flow Deflectors	1,098	2.1%	985	1.8%	-113
	Feature Type Totals	**6,004**	**11.2%**	**6,144**	**11.5%**	**140**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	15,631	29.2%	15,631	29.2%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	1,853	3.5%	1,853	3.5%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**17,484**	**32.7%**	**17,484**	**32.7%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**23,489**	**43.9%**	**23,628**	**44.1%**	**140**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Flow Deflectors/Between FDs	2,430	0	417	0	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	1,863	0	0	0	0	2,089	0	0
Steel Retaining Wall	0	0	79	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**4,294**	**0**	**495**	**0**	**0**	**2,089**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	27,141	25,371	1.93	1950 to 1976:	25.94%
1976	27,419	39,394	2.44	1976 to 1995:	-13.93%
1995	26,852	29,464	2.10	1995 to 2001:	9.78%
2001	26,774	34,867	2.30	1950 to 2001:	18.99%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-367**	**9,495**	**0.37**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	4,657

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	23	2.9%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	174	22.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	592		667	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	789		691	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**197**	**25.0%**	**24**	**11.3%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	77	0	0	**77**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
229	458	1,082	88	8%	142	108	76%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Railroad	2	0.1%
RipRap/Flow Deflectors			
	Other Infrastructure	9	0.7%
	Irrigated	39	3.2%
RipRap			
	Railroad	0	0.0%
	Non-Irrigated	10	0.8%
	Irrigated	48	3.9%
Other			
	Other Infrastructure	8	0.7%
Dike/Levee			
	Non-Irrigated	81	6.6%
	Totals	**196**	**16.0%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
211.2	0.0	8.3	4.1	12.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	63	76	110	128	1.6%	1.9%	2.7%	3.2%
	Totals	**63**	**76**	**110**	**128**	**1.6%**	**1.9%**	**2.7%**	**3.2%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	2,124	2,011	2,112	2,060	53.2%	50.3%	52.9%	51.6%
	Irrigated	1,161	1,098	947	960	29.1%	27.5%	23.7%	24.0%
	Totals	**3,285**	**3,108**	**3,059**	**3,020**	**82.2%**	**77.8%**	**76.6%**	**75.6%**
Channel									
	Channel	592	588	588	608	14.8%	14.7%	14.7%	15.2%
	Totals	**592**	**588**	**588**	**608**	**14.8%**	**14.7%**	**14.7%**	**15.2%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	3	3	3	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	7	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**0**	**3**	**10**	**10**	**0.0%**	**0.1%**	**0.3%**	**0.3%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	28	52	61	61	0.7%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%
	Interstate	0	141	141	141	0.0%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
	Railroad	27	27	27	27	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Totals	**55**	**220**	**229**	**229**	**1.4%**	**5.5%**	**5.7%**	**5.7%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	46	56	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%	1.9%
	Flood	1,161	1,098	901	904	35.3%	35.3%	29.5%	29.9%	0.0%	-5.9%	0.5%	-5.4%
	Totals	**1,161**	**1,098**	**947**	**960**	**35.3%**	**35.3%**	**31.0%**	**31.8%**	**0.0%**	**-4.4%**	**0.8%**	**-3.6%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,955	1,716	1,691	1,658	59.5%	55.2%	55.3%	54.9%	-4.3%	0.1%	-0.4%	-4.6%
Hay/Pasture	169	294	421	402	5.1%	9.5%	13.7%	13.3%	4.3%	4.3%	-0.4%	8.2%
Totals	**2,124**	**2,011**	**2,112**	**2,060**	**64.7%**	**64.7%**	**69.0%**	**68.2%**	**0.0%**	**4.4%**	**-0.8%**	**3.6%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.9	0.3	0.8	2.0	0.6	2.1	6.5	2.2	18.8
Max	47.4	35.9	51.2	59.3	37.9	55.7	11.5	38.6	18.8
Average	8.0	6.4	8.6	14.2	11.5	16.4	9.0	15.3	18.8
Sum	135.4	121.3	172.5	312.5	206.6	296.0	18.0	106.9	18.8

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	107.7
Channel to Riparian (acres)	140.9
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**33.2**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	0.0
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	5.8
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**5.8**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	14.8	73.1	24.6	0.0	**112.5**
Acres/Valley Mile	3.2	15.7	5.3	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.43	0.02%	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.03

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.

County	Sweet Grass	**Upstream River Mile**	438.5
Classification	UA: Unconfined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	434.7
General Location	Reed Point	**Length**	3.80 mi (6.12 km)
General Comments	Near Reed Point, Reach A9 provides a good example of a largely unmodified, dynamic river segment.		

Narrative Summary

Reach A9 is located in lowermost Sweet Grass County, just upstream of the Sweet Grass/Stillwater county line near Reed Point. The reach is an Unconfined Anabranching reach type. The reach is 3.8 miles long, extending from RM 434.7 to RM 438.5. The lower reach break is the bridge crossing just north of Reed Point. This bridge was originally constructed in 1911 and rebuilt in 2000.

Reach A9 provides an excellent example of a dynamic, largely unmodified Unconfined Anabranching reach type. The stream corridor is typically one half mile wide through the reach, with significant narrowing of that corridor in the downstream direction as the river approaches the bridge at Reed Point. In the uppermost portion of the Reach (RM 437-438.5), the northern valley margin consists of an alluvial fan deposit that is currently irrigated with center pivots. Downstream, the river abuts Cretaceous-age Hell Creek Formation on the northern valley wall, which contains sandstones that tend to form steep cliffs. The reach is characterized by high displacement ratios, extensive split flow and islands, and riparian turnover. Although riparian turnover is evident, the rates of that turnover have gone down in the reach since 1976. Prior to that time (1950-1976), average turnover rates were 5.9 acres per year; from 1976 to 2001 that average rate dropped to 3.6 acres of riparian turnover per year.

Bank armor in Reach A9 consists primarily of 10,000 linear feet of riprap which drapes about 24 percent of the stream bank. About 2,000 feet of that armor was constructed since 2001. This new armor is on the right bank at RM 437.8 where the river was rapidly migrating southward toward the rail line. By the time the bank was armored, the river was within 60 feet of the tracks.

Much of the riprap in Reach A9 is located along the south bank of the river on lower end of the reach where the Yellowstone River approaches the bridge near Reed Point. This bridge marks a major narrowing of the river corridor from about 2,000 feet wide ½ mile upstream of the bridge to 360 feet at the bridge itself. The narrowing is achieved by a ~mile long section of bank armor on the right bank that on its lower end runs due north/south, which is perpendicular to the overall east/west trend of the river. This has caused the river to consolidate into a main thread and abandon an historic side channel just upstream of the bridge at the Indian Fort Fishing Access Site.

Reach A9 has experienced the loss of almost about 3,700 feet of side channel since the 1950s due to dike construction. All of the side channel loss is from one project at the upstream end of the reach, where a side channel was blocked on the north side of the river at RM 438.5.

Even though Reach A9 has experienced some side channel loss, it still supports extensive side channel length. As of 2001 there were 5.1 miles of active side channel in the 3.8 mile long reach. Large islands have persisted in the reach since 1950.

Land use in Reach A9 is predominantly agricultural, although there several hundred acres of non-agricultural uses due to the proximity of the transportation corridor as well as the town of Reed Point. Since 1950, 160 acres of agricultural land have been converted to pivot. A total of 300 acres of developed land are in the Channel Migration Zone. Most of that is in flood irrigation (250 acres), but 40 acres are in transportation. About 13 percent of the CMZ is restricted by physical features.

There is natural gas one pipeline that crosses under the Yellowstone River in Reach A9. It crosses at the upper most end of the reach at RM 438.5 and is consists of a 6 inch pipeline that is owned by Northwestern Energy.

Since 1950, Reach A9 has lost most of its forest that would be considered at low risk of cowbird infestation due to its separation from agricultural infrastructure. In 1950, about 17 acres of forest per valley mile were identified as low risk and by 2001 that forest area had been reduced to 2.5 acres due to development within the reach.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been moderate in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 14,000 cfs to 13,300 cfs, a drop of about 5 percent. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 2,030 cfs to 1,680 cfs with human development, a reduction of 17 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

The reduction in flows is evident by the contraction of the 5-year floodplain area in Reach A9 by 15 acres, or 6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach A9 include:
•Reduced floodplain turnover rates since 1976
•Approximately 3,700 feet of side channel has been lost due to channel plugging between 1950 and 2011
•Meander belt encroachment at bridge crossing
•Side channel loss as part of armoring at bridge approach

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach A9 include:
•Side channel restoration at RM 438.5

•CMZ management due to extent of CMZ restriction (13 percent)
•Pipeline management for 6-inch natural gas pipeline that crosses under the river at RM 438.5

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6214500	6192500
Location	Billings	Livingston
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	70.3	68.1

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	14,000	27,100	33,900	38,000	46,500	49,900	57,600	2,030	1,760
Regulated	13,300	26,300	33,100	37,300	45,900	49,400	57,300	1,680	1,680
% Change	-5.00%	-2.95%	-2.36%	-1.84%	-1.29%	-1.00%	-0.52%	-17.24%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	6/15/1951	B/W	1:28,400	6192500	13700
1976	USCOE	9/28/1976	B/W	1:24,000	6192500	2560
1995	USGS DOQQ	9/11/96 - 8/28/97	B/W	NA	6192500	2560
2001	NRCS	8/2/2001 - 8/8/2001	CIR	1:24,000	6192500	2000
2005	NAIP	07/27/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3540
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/2007	Color	NA	6192500	1410-2090
2009	NAIP	7/7/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	11300
2011	NAIP	8/22/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	5480
2011	NAIP	7/24/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	13100
2013	NAIP	06/28/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	7,886	19.2%	9,898	24.2%	2,012
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	107	0.3%	107
	Feature Type Totals	**7,886**	**19.2%**	**10,005**	**24.4%**	**2,120**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	6,033	14.7%	6,033	14.7%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**6,033**	**14.7%**	**6,033**	**14.7%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**13,918**	**34.0%**	**16,038**	**39.1%**	**2,120**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	5,592	0	0	928	0	492	0	0
Totals	**5,592**	**0**	**0**	**928**	**0**	**492**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	23,308	30,404	2.30	1950 to 1976:	20.79%
1976	20,291	36,191	2.78	1976 to 1995:	-13.08%
1995	20,903	29,673	2.42	1995 to 2001:	-4.64%
2001	20,490	26,786	2.31	1950 to 2001:	0.12%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-2,818**	**-3,618**	**0.00**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	3,717

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	19	3.5%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	522		629	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	541		644	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**19**	**3.5%**	**15**	**6.2%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	90	0	0	**90**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
360	720	1,109	151	14%	41	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Railroad	3	0.3%
RipRap			
	Railroad	148	12.8%
	Totals	**151**	**13.1%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
252.0	0.0	4.3	2.8	40.1

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	28	17	24	27	1.0%	0.6%	0.9%	1.0%
	Totals	**28**	**17**	**24**	**27**	**1.0%**	**0.6%**	**0.9%**	**1.0%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,547	1,441	1,319	1,146	56.7%	52.8%	48.3%	42.0%
	Irrigated	463	450	491	614	17.0%	16.5%	18.0%	22.5%
	Totals	**2,009**	**1,891**	**1,809**	**1,760**	**73.6%**	**69.3%**	**66.3%**	**64.5%**
Channel									
	Channel	622	624	622	657	22.8%	22.9%	22.8%	24.1%
	Totals	**622**	**624**	**622**	**657**	**22.8%**	**22.9%**	**22.8%**	**24.1%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	9	45	67	0.0%	0.3%	1.7%	2.5%
	Totals	**0**	**9**	**45**	**67**	**0.0%**	**0.3%**	**1.7%**	**2.5%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	33	45	44	44	1.2%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
	Interstate	0	104	104	104	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
	Railroad	21	21	21	21	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
	Totals	**54**	**170**	**169**	**169**	**2.0%**	**6.2%**	**6.2%**	**6.2%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	Urban Residential	16	11	16	16	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	14	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%
	Urban Industrial	0	6	28	27	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%	1.0%
	Totals	**16**	**17**	**59**	**48**	**0.6%**	**0.6%**	**2.2%**	**1.8%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	146	163	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	9.3%	0.0%	8.1%	1.2%	9.3%
	Flood	463	450	345	451	23.0%	23.8%	19.0%	25.6%	0.8%	-4.8%	6.6%	2.6%
	Totals	**463**	**450**	**491**	**614**	**23.0%**	**23.8%**	**27.1%**	**34.9%**	**0.8%**	**3.3%**	**7.8%**	**11.9%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,359	1,319	1,134	1,027	67.6%	69.7%	62.7%	58.4%	2.1%	-7.1%	-4.3%	-9.3%
Hay/Pasture	187	122	185	119	9.3%	6.5%	10.2%	6.8%	-2.9%	3.8%	-3.5%	-2.6%
Totals	**1,547**	**1,441**	**1,319**	**1,146**	**77.0%**	**76.2%**	**72.9%**	**65.1%**	**-0.8%**	**-3.3%**	**-7.8%**	**-11.9%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.6	2.2	4.6	1.9	5.2
Max	15.5	18.6	30.1	60.7	53.8	71.0	53.8	15.6	50.1
Average	4.5	3.8	7.2	14.8	14.7	22.8	21.8	8.7	27.8
Sum	49.3	67.6	93.5	148.2	191.4	228.3	130.6	52.3	83.5

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	81.4
Channel to Riparian (acres)	126.5
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**45.1**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	0.0
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	5.8
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**5.8**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	9.8	32.5	30.9	0.0	**73.2**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.9	9.7	9.2	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.07	0.01%	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region A

In the study segment, Laurel to Springdale, three themes emerge as dominant across the four interest groups. One theme focuses on the changing riverbank profile as more and more residential homes are built on the river's edge. The second theme focuses on the river as a powerful and dynamic physical entity. The third is about the changing social profiles of their communities and how those changes influence user practices.