County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	298.1
Classification	UA: Unconfined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	292.3
General Location	From Bighorn confluence	**Length**	5.80 mi (9.33 km)
General Comments	From Bighorn confluence: Includes 1 mile of left bank valley wall control; Extensive bank prot.		

Narrative Summary

Reach C1 is located just downstream of the Bighorn River confluence. The Reach is 5.8 miles long and is an Unconfined Anabranching reach type, (UA), indicating the presence of forested islands with minimal valley wall influence on the river. These reach types tend to be the most dynamic of all reach types, with typically high rates of bank migration. At RM 296.5 for example, the river has migrated over 250 feet to the southeast between 2001 and 2011, indicating an average migration rate of over 25 feet per year.

There are about 2,300 feet of rock riprap in the reach, which collectively armors about 4 percent of the total bankline. About 1,000 feet of armor is protecting the rail line and another 500 feet is protecting agricultural ground. The remainder is protecting the Rancher's Ditch Diversion Structure at RM 295.5.

The Rancher's Ditch diversion dam is located approximately 2.5 miles downstream of the Bighorn River confluence. The dam was constructed in the early part of the 20th century and feeds a canal that flows on the north side of the river. There is a large, vegetated island in the Yellowstone River at the point of diversion, and diversion dams block channels on both sides of the island. The 2011 imagery shows that the south channel is becoming progressively abandoned, so that most flow goes over the main diversion structure on the north channel.

Since 1950, there have been over 7,000 feet of side channel blocked by floodplain dikes in the reach. These channels are on the lower end of the reach on the left (northwest) bank at RM 293. Even though side channels have been blocked, there has been a net gain of side channel length in the reach; since 1950, the total anabranching channel length has increased by 3,800 feet.

Since 1950, Reach C1 has experienced over 300 acres of new riparian recruitment, with most of that colonization occurring in old 1950s channel area. In balancing the amount of riparian area eroded out to the colonization acreage, there has still been a net gain of 118 acres of riparian area associated with channel movement. This reflects erosion of non-wooded lands and colonization of resulting open bar surfaces by woody vegetation, as well as the fact that the channel has gotten smaller since 1950; the bankfull area dropped by almost 50 acres (6 percent) between 1950 and 2001.

Whereas 8 percent of the 100-year floodplain has become isolated due to human development, about 47 percent (633 acres) of the 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. About 80 acres of historic 100-year floodplain area has become isolated by the railroad, and another 42 acres due to flow alterations. The loss of 5-year floodplain shows the strong imprint of flow alterations below the mouth of the Bighorn River and of development of those areas that are less frequently inundated; about 216 acres of currently flood irrigated floodplain areas are in the historic 5-year floodplain footprint.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 1,212 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. About 15 of those acres of pivot are within the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ). Approximately 7 percent of the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) has been restricted, with about half of the restrictions due to riprap along the railroad, and the other half due to floodplain dikes protecting irrigated lands.

There are several corrals associated with an animal handling facility at RM 296.8R. The river is migrating in the direction of these corrals and is currently about 600 feet from the facility.

Reach C1 supports over 40 acres per valley mile of mapped wetland, which is a relatively high wetland density for the river. There are also over 100 acres of Russian olive mapped in the reach, occupying 2.6 percent of the total floodplain area.

Reach C1 has seen a substantial loss in forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 48 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number decreased to 20 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 60,800 cfs to 47,100 cfs, a drop of about 23 percent. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 20 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,600 cfs to 2,950 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C1 include:
•Blocking of over a mile of side channel by floodplain dikes

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C1 include:
•Fish Passage at Ranchers Ditch Diversion: Structures block two channels at the diversion.
•Watercraft Passage at Ranchers Ditch Diversion
•Irrigation Infrastructure Management at Ranchers Ditch Diversion
•Side channel reactivation at RM 293

•Nutrient management at corrals associated with animal handling facility at RM 296.8R
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	108.3	66.3

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		60,800	76,600	86,900	110,000	119,000	142,000	4,600	3,846
Regulated		47,100	61,400	70,700	91,200	99,900	121,000	2,950	2,227
% Change		-22.53%	-19.84%	-18.64%	-17.09%	-16.05%	-14.79%	-35.87%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	8-Aug-96	B/W		6295000	9110
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/13/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17700
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	6/29/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	42200
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/20/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	46100
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	
2013	NAIP	07/20/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	
2013	NAIP	06/15/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	
2013	NAIP	06/16/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	1,900	3.0%	2,306	3.7%	406
	Feature Type Totals	**1,900**	**3.0%**	**2,306**	**3.7%**	**406**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	9,038	14.4%	9,038	14.4%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**9,038**	**14.4%**	**9,038**	**14.4%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**10,938**	**17.5%**	**11,344**	**18.1%**	**406**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	0	0	984	0	0	472	0	0
Totals	**0**	**0**	**984**	**0**	**0**	**472**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	31,562	43,000	2.36	1950 to 1976:	8.77%
1976	30,782	48,316	2.57	1976 to 1995:	-6.93%
1995	31,314	43,579	2.39	1995 to 2001:	4.32%
2001	31,294	46,785	2.50	1950 to 2001:	5.62%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-269**	**3,785**	**0.13**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	7,171

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	42	2.2%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	30	1.6%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	80	4.2%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	1737		1476	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	1889		2110	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**152**	**8.1%**	**633**	**45.9%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	69	0	0	**69**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
355	711	1,804	113	6%	162	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Railroad	56	2.9%
Dike/Levee			
	Irrigated	57	2.9%
	Totals	**113**	**5.7%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
150.0	0.0	14.5	0.0	10.1

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	51	66	54	40	0.9%	1.1%	0.9%	0.7%
	Totals	**51**	**66**	**54**	**40**	**0.9%**	**1.1%**	**0.9%**	**0.7%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	2,850	2,846	2,739	2,486	48.0%	47.9%	46.1%	41.8%
	Irrigated	1,895	1,816	1,975	2,176	31.9%	30.6%	33.2%	36.6%
	Totals	**4,745**	**4,662**	**4,714**	**4,662**	**79.8%**	**78.4%**	**79.3%**	**78.4%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,062	1,092	1,021	1,082	17.9%	18.4%	17.2%	18.2%
	Totals	**1,062**	**1,092**	**1,021**	**1,082**	**17.9%**	**18.4%**	**17.2%**	**18.2%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.1%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	54	91	58	58	0.9%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%
	Interstate	0	0	65	65	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%
	Railroad	32	32	32	32	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
	Totals	**85**	**123**	**154**	**154**	**1.4%**	**2.1%**	**2.6%**	**2.6%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	137	177	1,212	0.0%	2.9%	3.7%	26.0%	2.9%	0.8%	22.3%	26.0%
	Flood	1,895	1,679	1,798	964	39.9%	36.0%	38.1%	20.7%	-3.9%	2.1%	-17.5%	-19.3%
	Totals	**1,895**	**1,816**	**1,975**	**2,176**	**39.9%**	**39.0%**	**41.9%**	**46.7%**	**-1.0%**	**2.9%**	**4.8%**	**6.7%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	2,758	2,449	2,400	2,138	58.1%	52.5%	50.9%	45.9%	-5.6%	-1.6%	-5.0%	-12.3%
Hay/Pasture	92	397	339	348	1.9%	8.5%	7.2%	7.5%	6.6%	-1.3%	0.3%	5.5%
Totals	**2,850**	**2,846**	**2,739**	**2,486**	**60.1%**	**61.0%**	**58.1%**	**53.3%**	**1.0%**	**-2.9%**	**-4.8%**	**-6.7%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.9	5.4
Max	33.2	155.4	177.4	229.3	28.0	77.6	137.1	47.9	47.1
Average	8.5	17.1	19.1	24.7	11.1	16.2	21.0	23.9	24.3
Sum	169.9	411.4	477.9	468.6	177.1	355.7	188.7	287.1	121.5

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	130.1
Channel to Riparian (acres)	248.3
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**118.2**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	218.2
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	92.3
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**310.6**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	2.4	121.5	73.2	0.0	**197.1**
Acres/Valley Mile	0.5	25.8	15.5	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	104.53	2.59%	1.31	2.05	9.26	4.44

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

	2001 (Acres)		
Habitat	Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	304.8	179.4	17.6%
Rip Rap Margin	3.2	3.1	0.3%
Bluff Pool	46.4	45.5	4.5%
Secondary Channel	64.2	52.4	5.1%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	165.7	112.4	11.0%
Channel Crossover	133.1	100.8	9.9%
Point Bar		83.0	8.1%
Side Bar		45.6	4.5%
Mid-channel Bar		23.7	2.3%
Island	292.2	295.5	28.9%
Dry Channel		79.4	7.8%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	292.3
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	286.8
General Location	To Myers Bridge	**Length**	5.50 mi (8.85 km)
General Comments	To Myers Br (RM 285.5); Railroad adjacent to channel on valley wall; low sinuosity		

Narrative Summary

Reach C2 is located just upstream of Myers Bridge. The Reach is 5.5 miles long and is a Partially Confined Braided (PCB) reach type indicating some valley wall influence on a channel with fairly extensive low flow channels and open gravel bars. The reach follows the southern bluff line along the entire reach, which is almost entirely armored to protect the railroad.

There are over five miles of bank armor in the reach, most of which is rock riprap protecting the rail line. A total of 46 percent of the bank is armored. Since 2001, 1,200 feet of flow deflectors have been built on the right bank just above Myers Bridge.

About two miles of side channel have recently been blocked in Reach C2. In the upper end of the reach, two large side channels were blocked by a several thousand foot long floodplain dike sometime after 1976, and the old island in between these side channels is now cleared and farmed. The heads of these channels are at RM 293, and removal of the plugs at their heads could potentially reactivate over a mile of side channel connectivity. A second channel on the north side of the river at RM 289 appears relatively old, but has access roads crossing it that appear to block seasonal access. Similar to upstream, the isolation of this ~9,000 foot-long side channel has prompted clearing and farming of the old island area that is currently accessible. In total, about 18 percent (162 acres) of the mapped 1950s riparian vegetation in the reach has been cleared and converted to irrigation.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 137 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. There are several corrals associated with an animal handling facility at RM 289.5L. The corrals are on the edge of a blocked historic side channel that drains to the river. Dikes, levees, and irrigation-related riprap have collectively isolated just over 10 percent of the Channel Migration Zone in Reach C2.

Over 600 acres of 100-year floodplain has been isolated by human development, and all of that isolation is due to agricultural development on the north side of the river. The isolation reflects 23 percent of the total 100-year floodplain. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 59 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The loss of 5-year floodplain shows the strong imprint of flow alterations below the mouth of the Bighorn River and consequent development of those areas that are less frequently inundated; about 550 acres of currently flood irrigated areas are in the historic 5-year floodplain footprint.

Since 1950, Reach C2 has experienced about 190 acres of new riparian recruitment, with most of that colonization occurring in old 1950s channel area. There has been a net gain of 40 acres of riparian area in the reach associated with channel movement. This reflects encroachment of vegetation into the channel that has experienced a 20 percent reduction in channel forming (2-year) flow. There are about 46 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

Reach C2 was sampled as part of the fisheries study. A total of 32 fish species were sampled in the reach and one of those species was Sauger, which has been identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as a Species of Concern (SOC).

Reach C2 has seen a substantial loss in forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 37 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number decreased to 6 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The mean annual flood is estimated to have dropped from 60,900 cfs to 47,100 cfs, a drop of about 23 percent. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 20 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,610 cfs to 2,950 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C2 include:
•Blocking of over a mile of side channel by floodplain dikes
•Riparian clearing and irrigation development in isolated 5-year floodplain
•Loss of area at low risk of cowbird parasitism with riparian clearing

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C2 include:
•Side channel reactivation at RM 293
•Side channel reactivation at RM 289
•Nutrient management at corrals associated with an animal handling facility at RM 288.8L
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	102.8	72.1

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		60,900	76,600	87,000	110,000	119,000	142,000	4,610	3,846
Regulated		47,100	61,300	70,700	91,200	100,000	121,000	2,950	2,227
% Change		-22.66%	-19.97%	-18.74%	-17.09%	-15.97%	-14.79%	-36.01%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	8-Aug-96	B/W		6295000	9110
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/13/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17700
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2009	NAIP	6/29/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	42200
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/20/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	46100
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Tree Revetments	702	1.2%	702	1.2%	0
	Rock RipRap	25,527	43.8%	25,537	43.9%	10
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	387	0.7%	387
	Between Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	869	1.5%	869
	Feature Type Totals	**26,229**	**45.0%**	**27,495**	**47.2%**	**1,266**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	1,508	2.6%	1,508	2.6%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**1,508**	**2.6%**	**1,508**	**2.6%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**27,737**	**47.6%**	**29,003**	**49.8%**	**1,266**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	1,168	0	0	0	0	24,708	0	0
Tree Revetments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**1,168**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24,708**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	29,638	28,656	1.97	1950 to 1976:	7.47%
1976	29,979	33,391	2.11	1976 to 1995:	-36.35%
1995	29,256	10,104	1.35	1995 to 2001:	-1.76%
2001	29,112	9,366	1.32	1950 to 2001:	-32.80%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-526**	**-19,291**	**-0.65**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	1,014
Post-1950s (ft)	10,614

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	129	4.7%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	476	17.3%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	19	0.7%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	2123		1250	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	2747		2209	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**624**	**22.7%**	**959**	**59.3%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	217	0	0	**217**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
331	663	1,517	143	9%	126	15	12%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Irrigated	47	2.8%
Flow Deflectors			
	Non-Irrigated	10	0.6%
Dike/Levee			
	Public Road	2	0.1%
	Irrigated	109	6.6%
	Totals	**168**	**10.2%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
244.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	69	170	187	190	1.1%	2.7%	3.0%	3.0%
	Totals	**69**	**170**	**187**	**190**	**1.1%**	**2.7%**	**3.0%**	**3.0%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	2,677	2,590	2,755	2,700	42.3%	41.0%	43.6%	42.7%
	Irrigated	2,465	2,434	2,566	2,610	39.0%	38.5%	40.6%	41.3%
	Totals	**5,141**	**5,024**	**5,321**	**5,311**	**81.3%**	**79.5%**	**84.1%**	**84.0%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,057	1,076	758	765	16.7%	17.0%	12.0%	12.1%
	Totals	**1,057**	**1,076**	**758**	**765**	**16.7%**	**17.0%**	**12.0%**	**12.1%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	5	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**5**	**5**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.1%**	**0.1%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	24	21	21	21	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	33	33	33	33	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
	Totals	**57**	**54**	**54**	**54**	**0.9%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	77	79	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.5%
	Pivot	0	0	138	138	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%
	Flood	2,465	2,434	2,351	2,394	47.9%	48.4%	44.2%	45.1%	0.5%	-4.3%	0.9%	-2.9%
	Totals	**2,465**	**2,434**	**2,566**	**2,610**	**47.9%**	**48.4%**	**48.2%**	**49.2%**	**0.5%**	**-0.2%**	**0.9%**	**1.2%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	2,601	2,510	2,736	2,697	50.6%	49.9%	51.4%	50.8%	-0.6%	1.5%	-0.6%	0.2%
Hay/Pasture	76	81	19	3	1.5%	1.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	-1.3%	-0.3%	-1.4%
Totals	**2,677**	**2,590**	**2,755**	**2,700**	**52.1%**	**51.6%**	**51.8%**	**50.8%**	**-0.5%**	**0.2%**	**-0.9%**	**-1.2%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.9	0.3	1.4	0.1	0.0	2.0	4.7	0.3	1.8
Max	28.3	27.0	86.8	78.9	156.8	107.5	167.0	96.0	126.0
Average	9.6	8.2	16.1	19.8	37.8	31.2	59.9	22.7	29.9
Sum	172.2	180.1	241.1	276.7	416.0	374.0	479.1	182.0	149.7

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	90.0
Channel to Riparian (acres)	128.7
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**38.8**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	136.8
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	56.3
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**193.1**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	2.3	68.1	33.6	0.0	**104.1**
Acres/Valley Mile	0.4	12.7	6.3	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	45.84	0.87%	1.02	4.89	7.04	6.59

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Fish Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☐	☑	Bigmouth buffalo	☑	☑	Flathead chub	☐	☐	Northern redbelly dace	☑	☑	Stonecat
☑	☑	Black bullhead	☑	☑	Freshwater drum	☐	☐	Pallid sturgeon	☐	☐	Sturgeon chub
☑	☑	Black crappie	☑	☑	Goldeye	☑	☑	Pumpkinseed	☑	☑	Sucker species
☐	☑	Blue sucker	☑	☑	Green sunfish	☐	☐	Rainbow trout	☐	☑	Sunfish species
☑	☑	Bluegill	☐	☑	Lake chub	☑	☑	River carpsucker	☑	☑	Walleye
☑	☑	Brook stickleback	☑	☑	Largemouth bass	☐	☑	Rock bass	☑	☑	Western silvery minnow
☑	☑	Brown trout	☑	☑	Longnose dace	☑	☑	Sand shiner	☐	☐	White bass
☑	☑	Burbot	☑	☑	Longnose sucker	☑	☑	Sauger	☐	☑	White crappie
☐	☐	Catfish species	☑	☑	Minnow species	☑	☑	Shorthead redhorse	☑	☑	White sucker
☑	☑	Channel catfish	☐	☐	Mottled sculpin	☐	☐	Shortnose gar	☐	☑	Yellow bullhead
☑	☑	Common carp	☑	☑	Mountain sucker	☐	☑	Shovelnose sturgeon	☐	☐	Yellow perch
☑	☑	Creek chub	☐	☑	Mountain whitefish	☐	☐	Sicklefin chub			
☑	☑	Emerald shiner	☐	☑	Northern pike	☑	☑	Smallmouth bass			
☑	☑	Fathead minnow	☐	☑	Northern plains killifish	☑	☑	Smallmouth buffalo			

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

Habitat	2001 (Acres) Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	100.3	50.4	6.6%
Rip Rap Bottom		3.1	0.4%
Rip Rap Margin	166.6	127.3	16.8%
Secondary Channel	78.8	71.6	9.4%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	111.0	52.4	6.9%
Channel Crossover	133.3	103.8	13.7%
Point Bar		6.4	0.8%
Side Bar		29.0	3.8%
Mid-channel Bar		97.1	12.8%
Island	167.6	167.6	22.1%
Dry Channel		49.0	6.5%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	286.8
Classification	UA: Unconfined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	282
General Location	To Yellowstone Diversion	**Length**	4.80 mi (7.72 km)
General Comments	Just downstream of Myers Bridge, Reach C3 provides an example of the extent of Channel Migration Zone isolation that can occur in the vicinity of transportation and irrigation infrastructure.		

Narrative Summary

Reach C3 is located in Treasure County, between Myers Bridge and the Yellowstone Ditch Diversion, at the head of the Mission Valley. The reach is a 4.4 mile long Unconfined Anabranching reach type, extending from RM 282.0 to RM 286.4. In this area the alluvial valley bottom is approximately 2.5 miles wide, and this broad valley configuration is due to the presence of relatively erodible Cretaceous-age Bearpaw Shale in the valley walls and valley floor on the west limb of the Porcupine Dome. The Bearpaw Shale consists of dark gray shale that is approximately 800 feet thick. The unit is commonly exposed in the valley walls where the Yellowstone valley bottom is anomalously wide, such as in the Mission and Hammond Valleys, indicating that it is erodible in comparison to the resistant sandstones that typically form the valley margin. Upstream of Myers Bridge, the river has undercut its right bank where Bearpaw Shale underlies Hell Creek sandstone. The rail line follows the river's edge on the sandstone, and land sliding on the shale horizon has resulted in extensive bank armoring to protect the rail line (Womack, 2001).

This reach was used by Koch (1977) to exemplify an especially dynamic river segment where the channel crosses the valley from one valley wall to another. Koch (1977) and Womack (2001) noted that in these areas, the Yellowstone River exhibits a particularly rich and diverse riparian zone.

There are over two miles of bank armor in the reach, all of which is rock riprap. A total of 25 percent of the bank is armored. In addition, approximately 31,000 linear feet of transportation encroachments and floodplain dikes were mapped in the reach. These floodplain features include floodplain dikes at Myers Bridge and the Yellowstone Ditch Diversion, and a long segment of railroad grade that is on a high terrace margin adjacent to an anabranching channel thread. Several of the floodplain dikes are protected by riprap. Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 33 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. Physical features such as bank armor, dikes, and levees have isolated 19 percent of the Channel Migration Zone in Reach C3.

The Yellowstone Ditch Diversion Dam is located at the lower end of Reach C3 at River Mile 282. The structure was built in 1909.

Even though Reach C3 has extensive armoring and diking throughout the reach, it has maintained substantial side channel connectivity.

Over 300 acres of 100-year floodplain has been isolated by human development, and all of that isolation is due to agricultural development on the north side of the river. The isolation reflects 12 percent of the total 100-year floodplain. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 65 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The loss of 5-year floodplain shows the strong imprint of flow alterations below the mouth of the Bighorn River and consequent development of those areas that are less frequently inundated; about 700 acres of currently irrigated areas are in the historic 5-year floodplain footprint.

Reach C3 shows a net encroachment of 192 acres of woody vegetation into the active channel corridor, suggesting that hydrologic alterations may have driven some channel narrowing since 1950. This is also supported by the loss of 121 acres of bankfull area between 1950 and 2001. This reflects encroachment of vegetation into the channel that has experienced a 20 percent reduction in channel forming (2-year) flow. There are about 21 acres of Russian olive in the reach. The reach supports about 30 acres of wetland per valley mile, which is a relatively dense wetland concentration for the corridor.

Reach C3 was sampled as part of the fisheries study. A total of 32 fish species were sampled in the reach and one of those species was Sauger, which has been identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as a Species of Concern (SOC).

Reach C3 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 39 bird species were identified in the reach. The average species richness in Reach C3 was 8.1, which indicates the average number of species observed during site visits to the reach in cottonwood habitats. The average species richness for sites evaluated is 8. Three bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were also found, the Chimney Swift, the Ovenbird and the Plumbeous Vireo. One species identified as a Species of Concern (SOC) was documented, the Read-headed Woodpecker. In contrast to most other reaches, Reach C3 has seen an increase in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 65 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number increased to 82 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,610 cfs to 2,950 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C3 include:
•Influence of flow alterations on floodplain inundation and riparian extent
•Increase in area at low risk of cowbird parasitism with riparian encroachment

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C3 include:

•Fish passage at Yellowstone Ditch Diversion RM 282
•Watercraft passage at Yellowstone Ditch Diversion at RM 282
•Irrigation diversion infrastructure management at Yellowstone Ditch Diversion at RM 282
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	98.0	77.6

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		60,900	76,600	87,000	110,000	119,000	142,000	4,610	3,846
Regulated		47,100	61,300	70,700	91,200	100,000	121,000	2,950	2,227
% Change		-22.66%	-19.97%	-18.74%	-17.09%	-15.97%	-14.79%	-36.01%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	8/8/96 - 7/14/96	B/W		6295000	25300
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/13/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17700
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color		6309000	6490
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/20/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	46100
2013	NAIP	07/13/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	12,557	25.2%	12,618	25.4%	62
	Feature Type Totals	**12,557**	**25.2%**	**12,618**	**25.4%**	**62**
Floodplain Control						
	Transportation Encroachment	13,219	26.6%	13,219	26.6%	0
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	17,438	35.1%	17,438	35.1%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**30,657**	**61.6%**	**30,657**	**61.6%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**43,214**	**86.9%**	**43,276**	**87.0%**	**62**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	7,856	0	492	2,165	0	2,158	0	0
Totals	**7,856**	**0**	**492**	**2,165**	**0**	**2,158**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	27,296	37,678	2.38	1950 to 1976:	-2.87%
1976	29,355	38,514	2.31	1976 to 1995:	16.55%
1995	24,717	41,887	2.69	1995 to 2001:	-2.69%
2001	24,872	40,347	2.62	1950 to 2001:	10.16%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-2,424**	**2,669**	**0.24**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	45	1.6%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	188	6.9%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	81	3.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	2409		1245	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	2723		2442	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**314**	**11.5%**	**1197**	**65.1%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	113	0	0	**113**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
512	1,024	2,249	476	21%	283	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Railroad	27	1.1%
	Public Road	69	2.7%
	Irrigated	205	8.1%
Flow Deflectors			
	Non-Irrigated	0	0.0%
Dike/Levee			
	Public Road	69	2.7%
	Non-Irrigated	106	4.2%
	Totals	**476**	**18.8%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
393.6	0.0	17.9	1.4	9.2

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	8	8	8	8	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Agricultural Roads	0	11	11	11	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Other Infrastructure	33	74	89	89	0.7%	1.6%	1.9%	1.9%
	Totals	**41**	**93**	**108**	**108**	**0.9%**	**2.0%**	**2.3%**	**2.3%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,394	1,406	1,409	1,367	29.2%	29.5%	29.6%	28.7%
	Irrigated	1,882	1,817	1,821	1,811	39.5%	38.1%	38.2%	38.0%
	Totals	**3,276**	**3,223**	**3,229**	**3,177**	**68.7%**	**67.6%**	**67.8%**	**66.7%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,410	1,402	1,381	1,425	29.6%	29.4%	29.0%	29.9%
	Totals	**1,410**	**1,402**	**1,381**	**1,425**	**29.6%**	**29.4%**	**29.0%**	**29.9%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	8	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.2%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	22	31	31	31	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	17	17	17	17	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
	Totals	**39**	**48**	**48**	**48**	**0.8%**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	33	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
	Flood	1,882	1,817	1,821	1,778	57.4%	56.4%	56.4%	55.9%	-1.1%	0.0%	-0.4%	-1.5%
	Totals	**1,882**	**1,817**	**1,821**	**1,811**	**57.4%**	**56.4%**	**56.4%**	**57.0%**	**-1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.6%**	**-0.5%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,244	1,356	1,378	1,336	38.0%	42.1%	42.7%	42.0%	4.1%	0.6%	-0.6%	4.1%
Hay/Pasture	150	50	31	31	4.6%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%	-3.1%	-0.6%	0.0%	-3.6%
Totals	**1,394**	**1,406**	**1,409**	**1,367**	**42.6%**	**43.6%**	**43.6%**	**43.0%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**-0.6%**	**0.5%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.1	1.5	1.0	10.8	1.6	1.9
Max	47.8	107.1	75.5	272.5	79.0	154.8	63.2	141.9	102.6
Average	7.9	14.6	14.6	32.5	19.3	33.7	25.0	36.8	29.0
Sum	213.3	365.7	320.6	747.4	521.5	674.1	99.8	368.0	347.6

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	122.1
Channel to Riparian (acres)	314.2
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**192.1**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	318.6
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	79.4
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**398.0**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	6.4	90.6	23.2	0.0	**120.2**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.0	28.7	7.4	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	21.17	0.60%	5.66	4.51	2.15	1.40

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Fish Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☐	☑	Bigmouth buffalo	☑	☑	Flathead chub	☐	☐	Northern redbelly dace	☑	☑	Stonecat
☑	☑	Black bullhead	☑	☑	Freshwater drum	☐	☐	Pallid sturgeon	☐	☐	Sturgeon chub
☐	☑	Black crappie	☑	☑	Goldeye	☑	☑	Pumpkinseed	☑	☑	Sucker species
☐	☑	Blue sucker	☑	☑	Green sunfish	☐	☐	Rainbow trout	☐	☑	Sunfish species
☐	☑	Bluegill	☑	☑	Lake chub	☑	☑	River carpsucker	☑	☑	Walleye
☑	☑	Brook stickleback	☐	☑	Largemouth bass	☐	☑	Rock bass	☑	☑	Western silvery minnow
☑	☑	Brown trout	☑	☑	Longnose dace	☑	☑	Sand shiner	☐	☐	White bass
☑	☑	Burbot	☑	☑	Longnose sucker	☑	☑	Sauger	☑	☑	White crappie
☐	☐	Catfish species	☑	☑	Minnow species	☑	☑	Shorthead redhorse	☑	☑	White sucker
☑	☑	Channel catfish	☐	☐	Mottled sculpin	☐	☐	Shortnose gar	☐	☑	Yellow bullhead
☑	☑	Common carp	☑	☑	Mountain sucker	☐	☑	Shovelnose sturgeon	☐	☐	Yellow perch
☑	☑	Creek chub	☐	☑	Mountain whitefish	☐	☐	Sicklefin chub			
☑	☑	Emerald shiner	☐	☑	Northern pike	☑	☑	Smallmouth bass			
☑	☑	Fathead minnow	☑	☑	Northern plains killifish	☑	☑	Smallmouth buffalo			

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

Habitat	2001 (Acres) Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	123.5	81.6	5.9%
Rip Rap Bottom	69.2	52.3	3.8%
Secondary Channel	21.1	22.0	1.6%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	216.1	147.4	10.7%
Channel Crossover	146.7	81.1	5.9%
Point Bar		45.0	3.3%
Side Bar		96.8	7.0%
Mid-channel Bar		30.5	2.2%
Island	777.7	777.7	56.3%
Dry Channel		23.2	1.7%
Dam Influenced	26.5	23.2	1.7%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☑	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☑	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☑	☑	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☑	American Kestrel	☑	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☐	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☑	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☐	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☑	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☑	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☐	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☑	☑	Turkey Vulture
☑	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☑	Orchard Oriole	☑	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☑	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☑	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☑	☑	Western Kingbird
☑	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☑	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☐	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☑	Bobolink	☐	☑	Field Sparrow	☐	☑	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☑	☑	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☑	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☑	☑	Wild Turkey
☑	☑	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☑	☑	Brown Thrasher	☑	☑	Great Blue Heron	☑	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☑	☑	Canada Goose	☑	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☐	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☑	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach C4

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	282
Classification	PCB: Partially confined braided	**Downstream River Mile**	278.2
General Location	Below Yellowstone Diversion	**Length**	3.80 mi (6.12 km)
General Comments	Below Yellowstone Diversion		

Narrative Summary

Reach C4 is located in Treasure County, below Yellowstone Diversion Dam. Amelia Island Fishing Access Site is located in the middle of the reach. The reach is a 3.8 mile long Partially Confined Braided reach type, indicating some influence of the valley wall along with fairly common mid-channel bars. Within this reach the river trends toward and along the north valley wall near Hysham.

There are almost 5,000 feet of bank armor in the reach, all of which is rock riprap protecting flood irrigated fields at RM 279. Channel migration at the upstream end of this armor will pose risk of flanking as the bankline continues to erode to the south. A total of 13 percent of the bank is armored. Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 371 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. Physical features such as bank armor, dikes, and levees have isolated 9 percent of the Channel Migration Zone in Reach C4. All of the armor is protecting agricultural land. There are 22 acres of land in the CMZ under pivot irrigation.

Reach C4 has lost 8,200 feet of side channel length since 1950; however none of those lost channels were mapped as intentionally blocked.

Reach C4 shows a reduction in floodplain turnover rates from 3.4 acres/valley mile/year from 1950-1976 to 1.8 acres/valley mile/year from 1976-2001. There has also been a net loss of 15.5 acres of mid-channel bars since 1950, and a 10 acre increase in bank-attached bars, indicating a loss in overall low flow channel complexity. About 120 acres of riparian area has been cleared for irrigation, which is 18 percent of the total mapped 1950 riparian zone. There are 34 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

Over 300 acres of 100-year floodplain has been isolated by human development, and all of that isolation is due to agricultural development on the south side of the river. The isolation reflects 20 percent of the total 100-year floodplain. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 35 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The isolation of the historic 5-year floodplain, which is due primarily to flow alterations, has been associated with increased development in these areas; currently there are about 160 acres of flood irrigated land and 40 acres of pivot within the historic 5-year floodplain.

Reach C4 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 39 bird species were identified in the reach. Two bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were also found, the Chimney Swift, and the Ovenbird. In contrast to most other reaches, Reach C4 has seen an increase in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 43 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number increased to 138 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,620 cfs to 2,960 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C4 include:
•Influence of flow alterations on floodplain inundation and riparian extent
•Increase in area at low risk of cowbird parasitism with riparian encroachment

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C4 include:
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	94.2	82.4

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		60,900	76,700	87,000	110,000	120,000	143,000	4,620	3,846
Regulated		47,100	61,300	70,700	91,200	100,000	121,000	2,960	2,227
% Change		-22.66%	-20.08%	-18.74%	-17.09%	-16.67%	-15.38%	-35.93%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	1995?	B/W		6295000	
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/13/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17700
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/20/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	46100
2011	NAIP	7/17/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	54600
2013	NAIP	07/20/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	4,376	11.0%	4,972	12.5%	595
	Feature Type Totals	**4,376**	**11.0%**	**4,972**	**12.5%**	**595**
	Reach Totals	**4,376**	**11.0%**	**4,972**	**12.5%**	**595**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	4,346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**4,346**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	18,505	14,169	1.77	1950 to 1976:	-28.18%
1976	19,287	5,171	1.27	1976 to 1995:	4.10%
1995	19,319	6,184	1.32	1995 to 2001:	-1.59%
2001	19,946	5,966	1.30	1950 to 2001:	-26.42%
Change 1950 - 2001	**1,441**	**-8,203**	**-0.47**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	101	6.2%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	223	13.6%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	1317		1076	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	1641		1440	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**324**	**19.7%**	**364**	**34.7%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	232	0	1	**232**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
386	772	1,031	114	11%	234	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Irrigated	114	9.0%
	Totals	**114**	**9.0%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
269.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	2.2

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	32	32	32	32	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	34	26	5	5	1.1%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**66**	**58**	**37**	**37**	**2.0%**	**1.8%**	**1.1%**	**1.1%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,477	1,265	1,567	1,502	45.2%	38.7%	48.0%	46.0%
	Irrigated	1,279	1,437	1,143	1,178	39.2%	44.0%	35.0%	36.1%
	Totals	**2,756**	**2,701**	**2,710**	**2,680**	**84.4%**	**82.8%**	**83.0%**	**82.1%**
Channel									
	Channel	411	474	486	516	12.6%	14.5%	14.9%	15.8%
	Totals	**411**	**474**	**486**	**516**	**12.6%**	**14.5%**	**14.9%**	**15.8%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	22	22	22	22	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	9	9	9	9	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
	Totals	**31**	**31**	**31**	**31**	**0.9%**	**0.9%**	**0.9%**	**0.9%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	0	371	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	13.8%
	Flood	1,279	1,437	1,143	808	46.4%	53.2%	42.2%	30.1%	6.8%	-11.0%	-12.0%	-16.3%
	Totals	**1,279**	**1,437**	**1,143**	**1,178**	**46.4%**	**53.2%**	**42.2%**	**44.0%**	**6.8%**	**-11.0%**	**1.8%**	**-2.5%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,477	1,265	1,532	1,467	53.6%	46.8%	56.5%	54.7%	-6.8%	9.7%	-1.8%	1.1%
Hay/Pasture	0	0	35	35	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%
Totals	**1,477**	**1,265**	**1,567**	**1,502**	**53.6%**	**46.8%**	**57.8%**	**56.0%**	**-6.8%**	**11.0%**	**-1.8%**	**2.5%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.8	0.0	1.3	1.7	0.7	0.5	15.2	2.8	9.4
Max	24.8	29.8	12.8	342.0	197.7	255.9	26.4	62.6	56.4
Average	9.2	9.8	6.0	90.2	68.1	77.5	20.6	22.7	23.0
Sum	100.8	127.9	71.7	541.4	340.5	387.4	82.3	113.5	91.8

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	63.2
Channel to Riparian (acres)	75.5
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**12.4**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	76.7
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	8.8
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**85.5**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	1.8	30.7	25.1	0.0	**57.5**
Acres/Valley Mile	0.8	12.9	10.6	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	33.88	1.58%	6.69	0.02	6.62	1.78

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

	2001 (Acres)		
Habitat	Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	24.6	7.2	1.5%
Rip Rap Bottom	77.3	54.3	11.2%
Bluff Pool	80.5	53.7	11.0%
Secondary Channel	40.6	33.9	7.0%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	46.5	19.7	4.1%
Channel Crossover	121.7	82.0	16.9%
Point Bar		36.2	7.4%
Side Bar		40.4	8.3%
Mid-channel Bar		6.4	1.3%
Island	95.0	95.0	19.5%
Dry Channel		57.4	11.8%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☐	☐	American Goldfinch	☐	☑	Cliff Swallow	☐	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☑	American Kestrel	☐	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☐	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☑	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☑	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☐	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☐	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☑	Turkey Vulture
☑	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☑	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☑	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☐	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☐	☑	Western Kingbird
☐	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☐	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☐	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☑	Bobolink	☑	☑	Field Sparrow	☐	☑	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☑	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☐	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☑	Wild Turkey
☐	☑	Brown Creeper	☐	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☑	☑	Brown Thrasher	☑	☑	Great Blue Heron	☑	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☑	☑	Canada Goose	☐	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☐	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☐	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	278.2
Classification	PCS: Partially confined straight	**Downstream River Mile**	275
General Location	Hysham	**Length**	3.20 mi (5.15 km)
General Comments	Hysham		

Narrative Summary

Reach C5 is located north of Hysham. The reach is a 3.2 mile long Partially Confined Straight reach type, as the river flows straight eastward along the northern bluff line.

There is no mapped bank armor in the reach.

One side channel in the upper part of the reach has had land use encroachment and appears to have potentially been blocked prior to 1950. It is a small seasonal channel, however, and thus may have decayed naturally.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 181 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. There are about 260 acres of flood irrigated land within the CMZ, but due to the lack of bank armor, none of the CMZ has become restricted.

Two ice jams have been recorded in Reach C5. The first was in January 1997, and the second was a break-up event in mid-March of 2003.

Reach C5 shows a net loss of 15 acres of gravel bars 1950. Most of that loss has been associated with mid-channel bars. About 23 acres of riparian area has been cleared for irrigation, which is 6 percent of the total mapped 1950 riparian zone. There are 22 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

About 19 percent of the total 100-year floodplain has become isolated due to human development. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 68 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The isolation of the historic 5-year floodplain, due primarily to flow alterations, has been associated with increased development in these areas; currently there are about 380 acres of flood irrigated land within the historic 5-year floodplain. The vast majority of isolated 5-year floodplain area is within flood irrigated fields south of the river. The isolation is due to flow alterations.

Reach C5 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 35 bird species were identified in the reach. One bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) was found, the Ovenbird. Reach C5 has seen a decrease in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 41 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number decreased to 26 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,630 cfs to 2,960 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C5 include:
•Influence of flow alterations on floodplain inundation

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C5 include:
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	91.0	86.2

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		60,900	76,700	87,100	110,000	120,000	143,000	4,630	3,846
Regulated		47,100	61,300	70,700	91,200	100,000	121,000	2,960	2,227
% Change		-22.66%	-20.08%	-18.83%	-17.09%	-16.67%	-15.38%	-36.07%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	7/14/96 - 9/23/97	B/W		6295000	25300
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/13/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17700
2005	NAIP	07/12/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17500
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/17/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	54600
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



Jam Date	Jam Type	River Mile	Damages
1/2/1997	NA	277	?
3/15/2003	Break-up		?

GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	16,729	16,263	1.97	1950 to 1976:	-2.98%
1976	16,403	14,980	1.91	1976 to 1995:	-3.37%
1995	16,646	14,130	1.85	1995 to 2001:	-1.71%
2001	16,646	13,603	1.82	1950 to 2001:	-7.86%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-83**	**-2,660**	**-0.15**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	8,829
Post-1950s (ft)	0

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	0	0.0%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	321	18.8%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	0	0.0%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	1385		620	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	1706		1256	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**321**	**18.8%**	**636**	**67.8%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	88	0	0	**88**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
186	371	620	0	0%	339	0	0%

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
257.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	31	31	31	31	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	35	47	36	39	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	1.0%
	Totals	**66**	**78**	**67**	**70**	**1.7%**	**2.0%**	**1.7%**	**1.8%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,408	1,539	1,590	1,572	36.7%	40.1%	41.4%	41.0%
	Irrigated	1,866	1,707	1,665	1,673	48.6%	44.5%	43.4%	43.6%
	Totals	**3,273**	**3,246**	**3,255**	**3,245**	**85.3%**	**84.6%**	**84.8%**	**84.6%**
Channel									
	Channel	436	439	439	446	11.4%	11.5%	11.4%	11.6%
	Totals	**436**	**439**	**439**	**446**	**11.4%**	**11.5%**	**11.4%**	**11.6%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	8	8	8	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	4	6	6	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**0**	**12**	**15**	**15**	**0.0%**	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	23	23	23	23	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	10	10	10	10	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Totals	**33**	**33**	**33**	**33**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	11	11	14	14	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
	Urban Commercial	7	7	7	7	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
	Urban Undeveloped	7	7	4	4	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
	Urban Industrial	4	4	4	4	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Totals	**30**	**30**	**30**	**30**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**	**0.8%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	40	181	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	5.6%	0.0%	1.2%	4.4%	5.6%
	Flood	1,866	1,707	1,626	1,492	57.0%	52.6%	49.9%	46.0%	-4.4%	-2.6%	-4.0%	-11.0%
	Totals	**1,866**	**1,707**	**1,665**	**1,673**	**57.0%**	**52.6%**	**51.2%**	**51.6%**	**-4.4%**	**-1.4%**	**0.4%**	**-5.4%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,357	1,539	1,589	1,571	41.4%	47.4%	48.8%	48.4%	6.0%	1.4%	-0.4%	7.0%
Hay/Pasture	51	0	1	1	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.5%
Totals	**1,408**	**1,539**	**1,590**	**1,572**	**43.0%**	**47.4%**	**48.8%**	**48.4%**	**4.4%**	**1.4%**	**-0.4%**	**5.4%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	6.3	0.6	0.9	4.7	2.4	2.4	1.1	8.4	3.0
Max	26.1	59.2	26.5	46.4	85.2	62.0	96.2	29.5	124.3
Average	12.2	14.5	9.0	20.9	29.9	18.3	27.2	20.0	31.6
Sum	97.7	216.9	90.2	146.4	179.1	146.3	163.4	80.0	157.9

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	24.9
Channel to Riparian (acres)	39.6
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**14.8**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	39.7
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	5.0
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**44.7**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	13.6	43.6	6.9	0.0	**64.0**
Acres/Valley Mile	4.5	14.4	2.3	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	22.36	0.83%	3.12	0.00	1.47	2.02

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

	2001 (Acres)		
Habitat	Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	25.6	17.4	4.0%
Bluff Pool	165.7	147.1	33.5%
Secondary Channel		3.1	0.7%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	62.8	46.1	10.5%
Channel Crossover	64.8	47.3	10.8%
Side Bar		18.6	4.2%
Mid-channel Bar		6.2	1.4%
Island	119.7	119.7	27.3%
Dry Channel		33.1	7.5%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☐	☑	Cliff Swallow	☐	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☑	American Kestrel	☑	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☐	☑	American Redstart	☐	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☐	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☑	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☐	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☐	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☑	Turkey Vulture
☐	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☑	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☐	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☐	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☐	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☐	☑	Western Kingbird
☐	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☐	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☐	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☑	Bobolink	☐	☑	Field Sparrow	☐	☑	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☑	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☑	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☑	Wild Turkey
☐	☑	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☐	☑	Brown Thrasher	☑	☑	Great Blue Heron	☐	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☐	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☑	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☑	☑	Canada Goose	☐	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☐	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☐	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☐	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	275
Classification	UA: Unconfined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	269.4
General Location	Mission Valley	**Length**	5.60 mi (9.01 km)
General Comments	Reach C6 is located in Mission Valley and provides a good example of a reach likely impacted by both physical features and hydrologic alterations.		

Narrative Summary

Reach C6 is located in the Mission Valley north of Hysham. The reach is a 5.6 mile long Unconfined Anabranching reach type, indicating minimal valley wall influence and extensive side channels and forested islands. In this area the alluvial valley bottom is approximately 2.5 miles wide, and this broad valley has formed in the relatively erodible Cretaceous-age Bearpaw Shale.

There are just over 3,000 feet of bank armor in the reach, which covers 5.1 percent of the total bankline. About 600 feet of a floodplain dike at RM 273.2R appears to have been eroded out since 2001.

Almost 11,000 feet of side channels have been blocked by physical features in the reach since 1950. One floodplain dike that blocked a side channel at RM 227.8L in 2001 was eroded out and has since been rebuilt. Additional side channel length has been lost passively, overall, there has been about a three mile reduction in side channel length in this reach since 1950.

About 20 percent of the total 100-year floodplain has become isolated due to human development. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 70 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The isolation of the historic 5-year floodplain, due primarily to flow alterations, has been associated with increased development in these areas; currently there are about 650 acres of flood irrigated land and 200 acres of pivot land within the historic 5-year floodplain. The vast majority of isolated 5-year floodplain area is within irrigated fields south of the river, and the isolation appears to be due to both flow alterations and agricultural dikes.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 188 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. There are about 260 acres of flood irrigated land within the CMZ, but due to the lack of bank armor, none of the CMZ has become restricted.

Riparian mapping data show a net gain of 158 acres of woody vegetation into the active channel corridor since 1950. This has occurred both on migrating point bars that have become vegetated, as well as within abandoned side channels. Since 1950, the total area of open timber increased by approximately 250 acres. There are 40 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

Reach C6 was sampled as part of the fisheries study. A total of 26 fish species were sampled in the reach.

Reach C6 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 32 bird species were identified in the reach. Two bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were found, the Ovenbird, and the Chimney Swift. In contrast to most reaches, Reach C6 has seen an increase in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 55 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number increased to 106 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,630 cfs to 2,960 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C6 include:
•Active and passive loss of thousands of feet of side channel
•Reconstruction of side-channel blockage following its failure post-2001.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C6 include:
•Side channel reactivation at RM 275R and RM 271L
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	85.4	89.4

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		61,000	76,800	87,200	110,000	120,000	143,000	4,640	3,846
Regulated		47,000	61,300	70,700	91,300	100,000	121,000	2,970	2,227
% Change		-22.95%	-20.18%	-18.92%	-17.00%	-16.67%	-15.38%	-35.99%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	7/14/96 - 9/23/97	B/W		6295000	25300
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/12/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17500
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/17/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	54600
2011	NAIP	7/16/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	57900
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	2,478	4.1%	2,478	4.1%	0
	Concrete RipRap	574	1.0%	574	1.0%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**3,052**	**5.1%**	**3,052**	**5.1%**	**0**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	4,123	6.9%	4,501	7.5%	378
	Feature Type Totals	**4,123**	**6.9%**	**4,501**	**7.5%**	**378**
	Reach Totals	**7,175**	**12.0%**	**7,553**	**12.6%**	**378**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Concrete RipRap	574	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rock RipRap	2,476	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**3,050**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	26,335	41,916	2.59	1950 to 1976:	2.64%
1976	28,910	47,992	2.66	1976 to 1995:	-24.31%
1995	29,871	30,274	2.01	1995 to 2001:	-7.09%
2001	29,871	26,011	1.87	1950 to 2001:	-27.82%
Change 1950 - 2001	**3,536**	**-15,905**	**-0.72**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	0
Post-1950s (ft)	10,910

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	159	4.5%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	487	13.7%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	3	0.1%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	82	2.3%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	2838		1255	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	3570		2919	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**732**	**20.5%**	**1664**	**70.2%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	162	0	0	**162**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
325	651	1,982	124	6%	100	52	52%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Irrigated	62	3.0%
Dike/Levee			
	Non-Irrigated	46	2.2%
	Irrigated	68	3.3%
	Totals	**176**	**8.5%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
268.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	34	43	48	48	0.7%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%
	Totals	**34**	**43**	**48**	**48**	**0.7%**	**0.9%**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	1,646	1,822	2,065	2,031	34.8%	38.5%	43.6%	42.9%
	Irrigated	1,754	1,535	1,555	1,554	37.0%	32.4%	32.8%	32.8%
	Totals	**3,401**	**3,357**	**3,619**	**3,584**	**71.8%**	**70.9%**	**76.4%**	**75.7%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,285	1,320	1,052	1,087	27.1%	27.9%	22.2%	22.9%
	Totals	**1,285**	**1,320**	**1,052**	**1,087**	**27.1%**	**27.9%**	**22.2%**	**22.9%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	15	15	15	15	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**16**	**17**	**17**	**17**	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**	**0.4%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	19	188	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	5.2%	0.0%	0.5%	4.7%	5.2%
	Flood	1,754	1,535	1,536	1,366	51.6%	45.7%	42.4%	38.1%	-5.9%	-3.3%	-4.3%	-13.5%
	Totals	**1,754**	**1,535**	**1,555**	**1,554**	**51.6%**	**45.7%**	**43.0%**	**43.3%**	**-5.9%**	**-2.8%**	**0.4%**	**-8.2%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	1,602	1,822	2,063	2,031	47.1%	54.3%	57.0%	56.7%	7.2%	2.7%	-0.3%	9.5%
Hay/Pasture	44	0	2	0	1.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	-1.3%	0.1%	-0.1%	-1.3%
Totals	**1,646**	**1,822**	**2,065**	**2,031**	**48.4%**	**54.3%**	**57.0%**	**56.7%**	**5.9%**	**2.8%**	**-0.4%**	**8.2%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.8	0.1	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.5	3.6
Max	19.2	48.2	51.2	167.9	173.4	156.2	86.1	89.6	165.2
Average	5.5	10.6	10.7	41.0	40.6	45.5	32.6	24.4	68.7
Sum	105.2	274.6	160.3	738.3	730.7	682.9	163.0	219.2	412.3

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	119.1
Channel to Riparian (acres)	277.4
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**158.3**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	278.1
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	21.2
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**299.3**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	19.0	89.1	22.5	0.0	**130.5**
Acres/Valley Mile	5.5	25.8	6.5	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	40.00	0.93%	7.06	0.48	7.42	5.96

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Fish Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	Bigmouth buffalo	☑	☑	Flathead chub	☐	☐	Northern redbelly dace	☑	☑	Stonecat
☐	☑	Black bullhead	☑	☑	Freshwater drum	☐	☐	Pallid sturgeon	☐	☐	Sturgeon chub
☑	☑	Black crappie	☑	☑	Goldeye	☐	☑	Pumpkinseed	☑	☑	Sucker species
☐	☑	Blue sucker	☑	☑	Green sunfish	☐	☐	Rainbow trout	☐	☑	Sunfish species
☐	☑	Bluegill	☐	☑	Lake chub	☑	☑	River carpsucker	☑	☑	Walleye
☑	☑	Brook stickleback	☐	☑	Largemouth bass	☐	☑	Rock bass	☑	☑	Western silvery minnow
☐	☑	Brown trout	☑	☑	Longnose dace	☑	☑	Sand shiner	☐	☐	White bass
☐	☑	Burbot	☑	☑	Longnose sucker	☐	☑	Sauger	☐	☑	White crappie
☐	☐	Catfish species	☑	☑	Minnow species	☑	☑	Shorthead redhorse	☑	☑	White sucker
☑	☑	Channel catfish	☐	☐	Mottled sculpin	☐	☐	Shortnose gar	☐	☑	Yellow bullhead
☑	☑	Common carp	☑	☑	Mountain sucker	☐	☑	Shovelnose sturgeon	☐	☐	Yellow perch
☐	☑	Creek chub	☐	☑	Mountain whitefish	☐	☐	Sicklefin chub			
☑	☑	Emerald shiner	☐	☑	Northern pike	☑	☑	Smallmouth bass			
☑	☑	Fathead minnow	☑	☑	Northern plains killifish	☑	☑	Smallmouth buffalo			

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

Habitat	2001 (Acres) Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	242.1	158.7	15.1%
Rip Rap Bottom	98.9	68.6	6.5%
Secondary Channel		15.2	1.4%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	112.7	79.8	7.6%
Channel Crossover	95.2	74.6	7.1%
Point Bar		104.2	9.9%
Side Bar		7.6	0.7%
Mid-channel Bar		14.0	1.3%
Island	502.6	502.6	47.8%
Dry Channel		26.2	2.5%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☐	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☐	☐	American Goldfinch	☐	☑	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☑	☑	American Kestrel	☐	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☐	☑	American Redstart	☐	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☐	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☑	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☐	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☐	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☑	Turkey Vulture
☐	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☑	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☐	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☐	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☑	☑	Western Kingbird
☐	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☐	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☐	☑	Western Meadowlark
☑	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☑	Bobolink	☐	☑	Field Sparrow	☑	☑	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☑	Franklin's Gull	☐	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☐	☑	White-throated Swift
☐	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☑	Wild Turkey
☐	☑	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☐	☑	Wood Duck
☐	☑	Brown Thrasher	☐	☑	Great Blue Heron	☐	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☐	☑	Canada Goose	☑	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☐	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☐	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

Yellowstone River Reach Narratives — Reach C7

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	269.4
Classification	UA: Unconfined anabranching	**Downstream River Mile**	260.3
General Location	Mission Valley	**Length**	9.10 mi (14.65 km)
General Comments	Mission Valley		

Narrative Summary

Reach C7 is 9.1 miles long and is located in the Mission Valley downstream of Hysham. It is an Unconfined Anabranching reach type, which indicates little in the way of valley wall influence coupled with extensive side channels and forested islands. The Mission Valley owes its width to the presence of the Bearpaw Shale in the valley wall. Because this Cretaceous-age shale is relatively erodible and prone to mass failure, over time the river has been able to erode the valley wall more easily than in other reaches, creating the large distinct valleys present today. Because the Mission and Hammond Valleys are so wide, the river developed a complex series of channels and an expansive riparian forest. These reaches are especially rich in terms of aquatic and riparian habitat extent, diversity, and geomorphic complexity.

Just over 2,000 feet of rock riprap lines the banks in Reach C7, protecting 2.3 percent of the bankline.

Prior to 1950 about 4,200 feet of side channel had been blocked in Reach C7, and since then, floodplain dikes have blocked another three miles of side channel. Blocked side channels are located at RM 270.8L, RM 263.5R, and RM 261R. Even with all of the blockages, Reach C7 still has on the order of 17 miles of functional side channel length.

Reach C7 appears to be experiencing an active major avulsion just north of Sanders, where an anabranching channel has been developing into a primary channel over the last decade. As rerouting of the river would shorten the main thread by approximately 1.5 miles, an avulsion is very likely to occur in this area over the next several years. The rate at which the anabranching side channel fully captures the main thread will depend on flood events, as floods will accelerate the avulsion process. This avulsion would take pressure off of the main channel to the south, which is currently threatening the rail line at RM 264.8R and RM 266.2R.

About 9 percent of the total 100-year floodplain has become isolated due to human development in Reach C7. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 41 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The isolation of the historic 5-year floodplain, due primarily to flow alterations, has been associated with increased development in these areas; currently there are about 95 acres of flood irrigated land and 56 acres of pivot land within the historic 5-year floodplain. Much of the isolated 5-year floodplain area is within the active stream corridor and riparian zone however, exemplifying the potential impacts of flow alterations on frequent floodplain inundation.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 277 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. There are about 350 acres of flood irrigated land and 31 acres of pivot within the CMZ, but only 4 percent of the CMZ is restricted by physical features.

Riparian mapping data show a net gain of 780 acres of woody vegetation into the active channel corridor since 1950. This has occurred both on migrating point bars that have become vegetated, as well as within abandoned side channels. Reach C7 has about 90 acres of wetland per valley mile, which makes it one of the most concentrated wetland areas in the corridor. There are also 164 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

Reach C7 was sampled as part of the fisheries study. A total of 27 fish species were sampled in the reach, including Sauger, which are recognized by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as a Species of Concern (SOC).

Reach C7 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 69 bird species were identified in the reach. Four bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were found, the Black and White Warbler, the Plumbeous Vireo, the Ovenbird, and the Chimney Swift. Two Species of Concern (SOC) were identified, the Black Billed Cuckoo and the Bobolink. Brown Headed Cowbirds were also present. Reach C7 has seen an increase in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 86 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number increased to 102 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,680 cfs to 2,990 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C7 include:
•Active and passive loss of thousands of feet of side channel

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C7 include:
•Side channel reactivation at RM 270.8L, RM 263.5R, and RM 261R
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	76.3	95.0

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		61,100	77,000	87,400	110,000	120,000	144,000	4,680	3,846
Regulated		47,000	61,300	70,700	91,400	100,000	121,000	2,990	2,227
% Change		-23.08%	-20.39%	-19.11%	-16.91%	-16.67%	-15.97%	-36.11%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	7/14/96 - 9/20/97	B/W		6295000	25300
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/12/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17500
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	8/11/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	12900
2009	NAIP	7/30/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13800
2009	NAIP	7/25/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	13600
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/16/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	57900
2013	NAIP	07/20/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	2,173	2.3%	2,173	2.3%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**2,173**	**2.3%**	**2,173**	**2.3%**	**0**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	429	0.4%	429	0.4%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**429**	**0.4%**	**429**	**0.4%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**2,602**	**2.7%**	**2,602**	**2.7%**	**0**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	2,171	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**2,171**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	44,646	91,763	3.06	1950 to 1976:	9.52%
1976	47,069	110,437	3.35	1976 to 1995:	-22.68%
1995	50,128	79,561	2.59	1995 to 2001:	11.49%
2001	48,131	90,696	2.88	1950 to 2001:	-5.60%
Change 1950 - 2001	**3,485**	**-1,066**	**-0.17**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	4,230
Post-1950s (ft)	15,593

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	275	6.5%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	16	0.4%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	88	2.1%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	3849		2820	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	4227		3928	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**378**	**8.9%**	**1107**	**40.9%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	121	0	104	**225**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
506	1,012	4,478	173	4%	241	0	0%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Road/Railroad Prism			
	Railroad	101	2.1%
RipRap			
	Irrigated	72	1.5%
	Totals	**173**	**3.7%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
351.2	0.0	30.8	0.0	21.6

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	10	10	10	10	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	67	91	118	118	0.7%	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%
	Totals	**77**	**101**	**128**	**128**	**0.8%**	**1.1%**	**1.4%**	**1.4%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	3,501	3,872	4,499	4,468	37.4%	41.3%	48.0%	47.7%
	Irrigated	3,277	2,473	2,255	2,228	35.0%	26.4%	24.1%	23.8%
	Totals	**6,778**	**6,345**	**6,755**	**6,696**	**72.3%**	**67.7%**	**72.1%**	**71.4%**
Channel									
	Channel	2,416	2,821	2,378	2,437	25.8%	30.1%	25.4%	26.0%
	Totals	**2,416**	**2,821**	**2,378**	**2,437**	**25.8%**	**30.1%**	**25.4%**	**26.0%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	1	8	8	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	Totals	**0**	**1**	**8**	**8**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.1%**	**0.1%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	62	62	62	62	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	40	42	42	42	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
	Totals	**102**	**104**	**104**	**104**	**1.1%**	**1.1%**	**1.1%**	**1.1%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	56	276	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%	0.8%	3.3%	4.1%
	Flood	3,277	2,473	2,199	1,951	48.3%	39.0%	32.6%	29.1%	-9.4%	-6.4%	-3.4%	-19.2%
	Totals	**3,277**	**2,473**	**2,255**	**2,228**	**48.3%**	**39.0%**	**33.4%**	**33.3%**	**-9.4%**	**-5.6%**	**-0.1%**	**-15.1%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	3,451	3,806	4,336	4,393	50.9%	60.0%	64.2%	65.6%	9.1%	4.2%	1.4%	14.7%
Hay/Pasture	50	66	164	75	0.7%	1.0%	2.4%	1.1%	0.3%	1.4%	-1.3%	0.4%
Totals	**3,501**	**3,872**	**4,499**	**4,468**	**51.7%**	**61.0%**	**66.6%**	**66.7%**	**9.4%**	**5.6%**	**0.1%**	**15.1%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.9	2.0	1.5
Max	82.2	38.9	65.8	358.0	226.4	275.4	259.3	195.1	376.3
Average	10.4	8.2	10.6	74.6	54.7	47.7	58.8	29.5	61.9
Sum	396.3	448.9	435.6	1,491.6	1,639.9	1,431.2	588.3	502.1	927.9

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	395.3
Channel to Riparian (acres)	564.8
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**169.5**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	570.1
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	215.2
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**785.3**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	15.7	406.2	130.4	0.0	**552.3**
Acres/Valley Mile	2.5	65.4	21.0	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	164.35	2.08%	10.40	2.29	35.11	36.34

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Fish Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☐	☑	Bigmouth buffalo	☑	☑	Flathead chub	☐	☐	Northern redbelly dace	☑	☑	Stonecat
☐	☑	Black bullhead	☑	☑	Freshwater drum	☐	☐	Pallid sturgeon	☐	☐	Sturgeon chub
☑	☑	Black crappie	☑	☑	Goldeye	☐	☑	Pumpkinseed	☑	☑	Sucker species
☐	☑	Blue sucker	☐	☑	Green sunfish	☐	☐	Rainbow trout	☐	☑	Sunfish species
☑	☑	Bluegill	☐	☑	Lake chub	☑	☑	River carpsucker	☐	☑	Walleye
☑	☑	Brook stickleback	☐	☑	Largemouth bass	☐	☑	Rock bass	☑	☑	Western silvery minnow
☐	☑	Brown trout	☑	☑	Longnose dace	☑	☑	Sand shiner	☐	☐	White bass
☑	☑	Burbot	☑	☑	Longnose sucker	☑	☑	Sauger	☑	☑	White crappie
☐	☐	Catfish species	☑	☑	Minnow species	☑	☑	Shorthead redhorse	☑	☑	White sucker
☑	☑	Channel catfish	☐	☐	Mottled sculpin	☐	☐	Shortnose gar	☐	☑	Yellow bullhead
☑	☑	Common carp	☑	☑	Mountain sucker	☐	☑	Shovelnose sturgeon	☐	☐	Yellow perch
☐	☑	Creek chub	☐	☑	Mountain whitefish	☐	☐	Sicklefin chub			
☑	☑	Emerald shiner	☐	☑	Northern pike	☑	☑	Smallmouth bass			
☑	☑	Fathead minnow	☑	☑	Northern plains killifish	☑	☑	Smallmouth buffalo			

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

Habitat	2001 (Acres) Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	377.7	279.2	11.7%
Rip Rap Bottom	46.3	34.2	1.4%
Bluff Pool	53.5	35.9	1.5%
Secondary Channel	147.9	81.2	3.4%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	360.7	262.0	11.0%
Channel Crossover	231.0	153.2	6.4%
Point Bar		72.9	3.1%
Side Bar		127.7	5.4%
Mid-channel Bar		36.7	1.5%
Island	1,161.0	1,161.6	48.8%
Dry Channel		133.4	5.6%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☑	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☑	☑	American Crow	☑	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☑	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☑	☑	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☑	☑	American Kestrel	☑	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☑	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☑	☑	Bald Eagle	☑	☑	Common Nighthawk	☑	☑	Mallard	☐	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☑	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☐	☑	Turkey Vulture
☐	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☑	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☑	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☑	☑	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☑	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☑	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☑	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☑	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☑	☑	Western Kingbird
☑	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☑	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☑	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☑	☑	Western Meadowlark
☑	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☑	☑	Bobolink	☑	☑	Field Sparrow	☑	☑	Red Crossbill	☑	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☑	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☐	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☑	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☑	☑	Wild Turkey
☐	☑	Brown Creeper	☑	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☑	☑	Brown Thrasher	☐	☑	Great Blue Heron	☑	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☑	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☑	☑	Canada Goose	☑	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☑	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☑	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.

County	Treasure	**Upstream River Mile**	260.3
Classification	PCS: Partially confined straight	**Downstream River Mile**	253.8
General Location	Rosebud/Treasure County Line	**Length**	6.50 mi (10.46 km)
General Comments	Rosebud/Treasure County Line		

Narrative Summary

Reach C8 is 9.1 miles long and is located on the Rosebud/Treasure County line. It is a Partially Confined Straight reach type, as the river flows straight eastward along the northern bluff line.

There is approximately 4,100 feet of rock riprap in the reach, 800 feet of which was built since 2001. About 6 percent of the total bankline is armored.

Prior to 1950 about 2,300 feet of side channel had been blocked in Reach C8, and since then, floodplain dikes have blocked another 8,500 feet of side channel. Blocked side channels are located at RM 260R and RM 257R. Side channels have also been passively lost; since 1950, there has been a total loss of 2.6 miles of side channel in Reach C8. About four miles of active side channel remain.

About 35 percent of the total 100-year floodplain has become isolated due to human development. Most of the isolation is due to flow alterations. The 5-year floodplain is even more affected; 55 percent of the historic 5-year floodplain is no longer inundated at that frequency. The isolation of the historic 5-year floodplain, due primarily to flow alterations, has been associated with increased development in these areas; currently there are about 240 acres of flood irrigated land within the historic 5-year floodplain. Most of the isolated 5-year floodplain area is occupied by flood irrigated fields south of the river.

Land use is dominated by agriculture, with 342 acres of pivot irrigation development since 1950. There are about 178 acres of flood irrigated land and 12 acres of pivot within the CMZ, and 10 percent of the CMZ is restricted by physical features.

Riparian recruitment analyses show that between 1950 and 2001, there was 193 total acres of riparian colonization in the reach. Taking into account losses due to erosion, there was still a net gain of 94 acres of woody vegetation into the active channel corridor since 1950. This has occurred both on migrating point bars that have become vegetated, as well as within abandoned side channels. The extent of closed timber has increased from 293 acres in 1950 to 604 acres in 2001. There are 43 acres of Russian olive in the reach.

Reach C8 was sampled as part of the fisheries study. A total of 30 fish species were sampled in the reach, including Sauger, which are recognized by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as a Species of Concern (SOC).

Reach C8 was sampled as part of the avian study. A total of 37 bird species were identified in the reach. Two bird species identified by the Montana Natural Heritage Program as Potential Species of Concern (PSOC) were found, the Ovenbird and the Chimney Swift. Reach C8 has seen an increase in the forested area that is at low risk of cowbird parasitism since 1950. At that time, there were 51 acres per valley mile of such forest, and that number increased to 61 acres per valley mile by 2001.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been major in this reach. The 2-year flood, which strongly influences overall channel form, has dropped by 23 percent. Low flows have also been impacted; severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 4,680 cfs to 2,990 cfs with human development, a reduction of 36 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 6,150 cfs under unregulated conditions to 3,320 cfs under regulated conditions at Reach C10 downstream where the analysis begins, a reduction of 46 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach C8 include:
•Active and passive loss of thousands of feet of side channel

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach C8 include:
•Side channel reactivation at RM 260R and RM 257R
•Russian olive removal

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)

HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Miles City

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval
1974	Jun 22	75,400	10-25 yr
1997	Jun 15	83,300	10-25 yr
1943	Jun 26	83,700	10-25 yr
2011	May 24	85,400	10-25 yr
1944	Jun 19	96,300	50-100 yr
1978	May 22	102,000	50-100 yr

	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
Gage No	6309000	6214500
Location	Miles City	Billings
Period of Record	1929-2015	1929-2015
Distance To (miles)	69.8	104.1

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated		61,100	77,100	87,500	111,000	120,000	144,000	4,680	3,846
Regulated		47,000	61,300	70,700	91,400	100,000	122,000	2,990	2,227
% Change		-23.08%	-20.49%	-19.20%	-17.66%	-16.67%	-15.28%	-36.11%	-42.10%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1950	USGS-EROS	26-Aug-49	B/W	1:14,800	6309000	3620
1976	USCOE	29-Sep-76	B/W	1:24,000	6309000	9520
1995	USGS DOQQ	7/14/96 - 6/13/96	B/W		6295000	25300
2001	NRCS	August 2-8, 2001	CIR	1:24,000	6295000	3500
2005	NAIP	07/12/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	17500
2007	Woolpert	10/15/2007 - 11/2/0007	Color			
2009	NAIP	8/11/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	12900
2011	USCOE	October 2012	color	1-ft pixel	6309000	8100
2011	NAIP	7/16/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6309000	57900
2013	NAIP	07/21/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	
2013	NAIP	07/20/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6309000	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.

Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be descrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	3,286	4.8%	4,093	6.0%	807
	Flow Deflectors	0	0.0%	52	0.1%	52
	Feature Type Totals	**3,286**	**4.8%**	**4,145**	**6.1%**	**859**
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	1,447	2.1%	1,447	2.1%	0
	Feature Type Totals	**1,447**	**2.1%**	**1,447**	**2.1%**	**0**
	Reach Totals	**4,734**	**6.9%**	**5,592**	**8.2%**	**859**

Intent of Bank Protection: 2001

The 2001 bank protection features were assessed for the 'intent' of what they protect.

Feature Type	Irrigated	Non-Irrig.	Ag. Infrastr.	Road	Interstate	Railroad	Urban	Exurban
Rock RipRap	3,287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	**3,287**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter		% Change in Braiding
1950	34,703	34,247	1.99	1950 to 1976:	-12.94%
1976	33,984	24,802	1.73	1976 to 1995:	-2.02%
1995	34,391	23,896	1.69	1995 to 2001:	-5.54%
2001	34,218	20,560	1.60	1950 to 2001:	-19.43%
Change 1950 - 2001	**-485**	**-13,687**	**-0.39**		

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	2,323
Post-1950s (ft)	8,494

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These area areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)	665	26.8%		
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)	35	1.4%		
Agriculture (isloated by canal or large ditch)	0	0.0%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)	11	0.5%		
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)	0	0.0%		
Railroad	186	7.5%		
Abandoned Railroad	0	0.0%		
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)	0	0.0%		
Total Not Isolated (Ac)	1581		1172	
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)	2479		1843	
Total Isolated (Ac)	**898**	**36.2%**	**671**	**54.9%**

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agrigulture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:	66	0	0	**67**

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as "Restricted Migration Areas" (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as "Avulsion Potential Zones".

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
216	433	1,536	134	9%	164	32	20%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
RipRap			
	Non-Irrigated	151	8.9%
	Irrigated	15	0.9%
	Totals	**167**	**9.8%**

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ ExUrban	Trans-portation
177.9	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

		Acres				% of Reach Area			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	40	69	101	105	0.5%	0.9%	1.4%	1.4%
	Totals	**40**	**69**	**101**	**105**	**0.5%**	**0.9%**	**1.4%**	**1.4%**
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	3,338	2,946	3,338	2,985	45.7%	40.3%	45.7%	40.8%
	Irrigated	2,808	3,010	3,019	3,125	38.4%	41.2%	41.3%	42.8%
	Totals	**6,146**	**5,956**	**6,357**	**6,110**	**84.1%**	**81.5%**	**87.0%**	**83.6%**
Channel									
	Channel	1,027	1,188	754	998	14.0%	16.3%	10.3%	13.7%
	Totals	**1,027**	**1,188**	**754**	**998**	**14.0%**	**16.3%**	**10.3%**	**13.7%**
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Transportation									
	Public Road	67	67	67	67	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	31	31	31	31	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
	Totals	**98**	**98**	**98**	**98**	**1.3%**	**1.3%**	**1.3%**	**1.3%**
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

		Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
Feature Class	Feature Type	1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Pivot	0	0	142	342	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	5.6%	0.0%	2.2%	3.4%	5.6%
	Flood	2,808	3,010	2,877	2,783	45.7%	50.5%	45.3%	45.6%	4.8%	-5.3%	0.3%	-0.1%
	Totals	**2,808**	**3,010**	**3,019**	**3,125**	**45.7%**	**50.5%**	**47.5%**	**51.2%**	**4.8%**	**-3.0%**	**3.7%**	**5.5%**

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	3,005	2,779	3,025	2,836	48.9%	46.7%	47.6%	46.4%	-2.2%	0.9%	-1.2%	-2.5%
Hay/Pasture	333	167	313	148	5.4%	2.8%	4.9%	2.4%	-2.6%	2.1%	-2.5%	-3.0%
Totals	**3,338**	**2,946**	**3,338**	**2,985**	**54.3%**	**49.5%**	**52.5%**	**48.8%**	**-4.8%**	**3.0%**	**-3.7%**	**-5.5%**

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

Riparian Mapping

	Shrub (Acres)			Closed Timber (Acres)			Open Timber (Acres)		
Statistic	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001	1950	1976	2001
Min	0.5	1.5	0.7	1.7	2.2	4.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
Max	85.5	62.4	134.8	46.3	58.1	223.0	181.9	68.9	67.6
Average	12.3	9.9	24.5	24.5	27.9	60.5	49.9	11.1	24.0
Sum	209.6	177.5	220.4	293.4	417.8	604.5	349.5	178.3	120.0

Riparian Turnover

Conversion of riparian areas to channel, or from channel to riparian between the 1950's and 2001 data set.

Riparian to Channel (acres)	81.5
Channel to Riparian (acres)	175.1
Riparian Encroachment (acres)	**93.6**

Riparian Recruitment

Creation of riparian areas between 1950s and 2001.

1950s Channel Mapped as 2011 Riparian (Ac)	179.3
1950s Floodplain Mapped as 2011 Channel (Ac)	13.2
Total Recruitment (1950s to 2011)(Ac)	**192.5**

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	3.8	112.2	9.6	0.0	**125.6**
Acres/Valley Mile	0.6	18.7	1.6	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	43.41	0.93%	8.10	4.08	6.16	6.40

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema deveoped by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

Fish Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☐	☑	Bigmouth buffalo	☑	☑	Flathead chub	☐	☐	Northern redbelly dace	☑	☑	Stonecat
☑	☑	Black bullhead	☑	☑	Freshwater drum	☐	☐	Pallid sturgeon	☐	☐	Sturgeon chub
☐	☑	Black crappie	☑	☑	Goldeye	☑	☑	Pumpkinseed	☑	☑	Sucker species
☐	☑	Blue sucker	☑	☑	Green sunfish	☐	☐	Rainbow trout	☐	☑	Sunfish species
☐	☑	Bluegill	☐	☑	Lake chub	☑	☑	River carpsucker	☑	☑	Walleye
☑	☑	Brook stickleback	☐	☑	Largemouth bass	☐	☑	Rock bass	☑	☑	Western silvery minnow
☐	☑	Brown trout	☑	☑	Longnose dace	☑	☑	Sand shiner	☐	☐	White bass
☐	☑	Burbot	☑	☑	Longnose sucker	☑	☑	Sauger	☑	☑	White crappie
☐	☐	Catfish species	☑	☑	Minnow species	☑	☑	Shorthead redhorse	☑	☑	White sucker
☑	☑	Channel catfish	☐	☐	Mottled sculpin	☐	☐	Shortnose gar	☑	☑	Yellow bullhead
☑	☑	Common carp	☑	☑	Mountain sucker	☐	☑	Shovelnose sturgeon	☐	☐	Yellow perch
☐	☑	Creek chub	☑	☑	Mountain whitefish	☐	☐	Sicklefin chub			
☑	☑	Emerald shiner	☐	☑	Northern pike	☑	☑	Smallmouth bass			
☑	☑	Fathead minnow	☑	☑	Northern plains killifish	☑	☑	Smallmouth buffalo			

Low Flow Fisheries Habitat Mapping

Habitat	2001 (Acres) Bankfull	Low Flow	% of Low Flow
Scour Pool	118.7	58.2	7.7%
Rip Rap Bottom	78.8	48.8	6.5%
Bluff Pool	182.1	138.0	18.3%
Secondary Channel	52.4	28.8	3.8%
Secondary Channel (Seasonal)	56.3	67.3	8.9%
Channel Crossover	142.5	128.8	17.1%
Point Bar		41.3	5.5%
Side Bar		35.9	4.8%
Mid-channel Bar		34.2	4.5%
Island	122.7	131.6	17.5%
Dry Channel		40.6	5.4%

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

Bird Species Observed in Reach/Region

Species of Concern | Potential Species of Concern

Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region		Reach	Region	
☑	☑	American Robin	☐	☑	Chipping Sparrow	☐	☑	Killdeer	☑	☑	Song Sparrow
☐	☑	American Crow	☐	☑	Clay-collared Sparrow	☐	☑	Lark Bunting	☐	☑	Spotted Sandpiper
☑	☑	American Goldfinch	☑	☑	Cliff Swallow	☑	☑	Lark Sparrow	☑	☑	Spotted Towhee
☐	☑	American Kestrel	☐	☑	Common Grackle	☑	☑	Lazuli Bunting	☐	☑	Sharp-shinned Hawk
☑	☑	American Redstart	☐	☑	Common Merganser	☑	☑	Least Flycatcher	☐	☑	Swainson's Thrush
☐	☑	Bald Eagle	☐	☑	Common Nighthawk	☐	☑	Mallard	☐	☑	Sandhill Crane
☐	☐	Baltimore Oriole	☐	☐	Common Raven	☐	☐	Mountain Bluebird	☑	☑	Tree Swallow
☐	☑	Barn Swallow	☑	☑	Common Yellowthroat	☑	☑	Mourning Dove	☑	☑	Turkey Vulture
☑	☑	Belted Kingfisher	☐	☑	Cooper's Hawk	☑	☑	Northern Flicker	☐	☑	Upland Sandpiper
☐	☑	Black-billed Cuckoo	☐	☑	Dickcissel	☐	☑	Orchard Oriole	☐	☑	Vesper Sparrow
☐	☑	Black-billed Magpie	☑	☑	Downy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Osprey	☐	☑	Violet-green Swallow
☑	☑	Black-capped Chickadee	☐	☑	Eastern Bluebird	☑	☑	Ovenbird	☑	☑	Warbling Vireo
☐	☑	Black-and-white Warbler	☑	☑	Eastern Kingbird	☐	☑	Plumbeous Vireo	☑	☑	Western Kingbird
☐	☑	Black-headed Grosbeak	☐	☑	Eurasian Collared-dove	☐	☑	Red-headed Woodpecker	☐	☑	Western Meadowlark
☑	☑	Blue Jay	☑	☑	European Starling	☐	☐	Red-naped Sapsucker	☑	☑	Western Wood-pewee
☐	☑	Bobolink	☐	☑	Field Sparrow	☑	☑	Red Crossbill	☐	☑	White-breasted Nuthatch
☐	☑	Brewer's Blackbird	☐	☑	Franklin's Gull	☑	☑	Ring-necked Pheasant	☐	☑	White-throated Swift
☑	☑	Brown-headed Cowbird	☐	☑	Grasshopper Sparrow	☑	☑	Red-tailed hawk	☐	☑	Wild Turkey
☐	☑	Brown Creeper	☐	☑	Gray Catbird	☐	☑	Rock Dove	☑	☑	Wood Duck
☐	☑	Brown Thrasher	☐	☑	Great Blue Heron	☐	☑	Red-winged Blackbird	☐	☐	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker
☑	☑	Bullock's Oriole	☐	☑	Great Horned Owl	☑	☑	Red-eyed Vireo	☐	☐	Yellow-billed Cuckoo
☐	☑	Canada Goose	☐	☑	Hairy Woodpecker	☐	☐	Red-breasted Grosbeak	☑	☑	Yellow-breasted Chat
☑	☑	Cedar Waxwing	☐	☐	House Finch	☑	☑	Say's Phoebe	☐	☐	Yellow-headed Blackbird
☑	☑	Chimney Swift	☑	☑	House Wren	☐	☑	Savannah Sparrow	☑	☑	Yellow Warbler

CULTURAL INVENTORY SUMMARY

The Yellowstone River Cultural Inventory - 2006 documents the variety and intensity of different perspectives and values held by people who share the Yellowstone River. Between May and November of 2006, a total of 313 individuals participated in the study. They represented agricultural, civic, recreational, or residential interest groups. Also, individuals from the Crow and the Northern Cheyenne tribes were included.
There are three particular goals associated with the investigation. The first goal is to document how the people of the Yellowstone River describe the physical character of the river and how they think the physical processes, such as floods and erosion, should be managed. Within this goal, efforts have been made to document participants' views regarding the many different bank stabilization techniques employed by landowners. The second goal is to document the degree to which the riparian zone associated with the river is recognized and valued by the participants. The third goal is to document concerns regarding the management of the river's resources. Special attention is given to the ways in which residents from diverse geographical settings and diverse interest groups view river management and uses. The results illustrate the commonalities of thought and the complexities of concerns expressed by those who share the resources of the Yellowstone River.

Summary of Cultural Views in Region C

In the study segment, Powder River to Big Horn River, three conversations emerged across the four interest groups. The first conversation focuses on the "familiar way of life." The conversation exposes a local identity that is tied to agriculture and to traditional forms of recreation, such as hunting and fishing. When asked if the familiar management practices are sufficient in terms of sharing the river's resources, some locals express concerns. The second conversation explicitly acknowledges that the demand for recreational access to the river's resources is in its infancy in terms of representing a problem. The third conversation focuses on controlling the river with rip-rap and dikes.