

**Streamflow Forecast Summary: April 1, 2006**  
(averages based on 1981-2010 reference period)

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| UPPER CLARK FORK<br>RIVER BASIN                                                                         | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
| Little Blackfoot nr Garrison                                                                            |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

Flint Ck nr Southern Cross

Flint Ck bl Boulder Ck

Lower Willow Ck Reservoir Inflow<sup>2</sup>

MF Rock Ck nr Philipsburg

Rock Ck nr Clinton

Clark Fork R ab Milltown

Nevada Ck nr Helmville

Blackfoot R nr Bonner

Clark Fork R ab Missoula

- 
- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%  
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions  
3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>BITTERROOT RIVER<br/>BASIN</b>      | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| WF Bitterroot R Nr Conner <sup>2</sup> |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Bitterroot R Nr Darby                  |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Como Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>     |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Bitterroot R nr Missoula               |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

- 
- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%  
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions  
3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>LOWER CLARK FORK<br/>RIVER BASIN</b>          | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Clark Fork R bl Missoula                         |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Clark Fork R at St. Regis <sup>1</sup>           |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Clark Fork R nr Plains <sup>1,2</sup>            |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Thompson nr Thompson Falls                       |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Prospect Ck at Thompson Falls                    |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Clark Fork R at Whitehorse Rapids <sup>1,2</sup> |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

- 
- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%  
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions  
3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>JEFFERSON RIVER BASIN</b>             | Forecast Period | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Lima Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>       |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Clark Canyon Inflow <sup>2</sup>         |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Beaverhead R at Barretts <sup>2</sup>    |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Ruby R Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>     |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Big Hole R at Wisdom                     |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Big Hole R nr Melrose                    |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Jefferson R nr Twin Bridges <sup>2</sup> |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Boulder R nr Boulder                     |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Willow Ck Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>  |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Jefferson R nr Three Forks <sup>2</sup>  |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>MADISON RIVER BASIN</b>           | Forecast Period | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Hebgen Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup> |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Ennis Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>  |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>GALLATIN RIVER BASIN</b>           | Forecast Period | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Gallatin R nr Gateway                 |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Hyalite Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup> |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |
| Gallatin R at Logan                   |                 |           |           |           |       |           |           |                |

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average



- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>MISSOURI MAINSTEM<br/>BASIN</b>       | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Missouri R at Toston <sup>2</sup>        |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Dearborn R nr Craig                      |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Missouri R at Fort Benton <sup>2</sup>   |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Missouri R nr Virgelle <sup>2</sup>      |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Missouri R nr Landusky <sup>2</sup>      |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Missouri R bl Fort Peck Dam <sup>2</sup> |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Lake Sakakawea Inflow <sup>2</sup>       |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>UPPER YELLOWSTONE<br/>RIVER BASIN</b> | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Yellowstone R at Yellowstone Lake Outlet |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Yellowstone R at Corwin Springs          |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Yellowstone R at Livingston              |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Shields R nr Livingston                  |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Boulder R at Big Timber                  |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Mystic Lake Inflow <sup>2</sup>          |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Stillwater R nr Absarokee <sup>2</sup>   |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Clarks Fk Yellowstone R nr Belfry        |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Cooney Reservoir Inflow                  |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Yellowstone R at Billings                |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

- 1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
- 2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
- 3) Median value used in place of average

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment<br>Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <b>LOWER YELLOWSTONE<br/>RIVER BASIN (Wyoming)</b> | Forecast<br>Period | 90%<br>(KAF) | 70%<br>(KAF) | 50%<br>(KAF) | % Avg | 30%<br>(KAF) | 10%<br>(KAF) | 30yr Avg<br>(KAF) |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Bighorn R nr St. Xavier <sup>2</sup>               |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Little Bighorn R nr Hardin                         |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Tongue R nr Dayton <sup>2</sup>                    |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Big Goose Ck nr Sheridan                           |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Little Goose Ck nr Bighorn                         |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Tongue River Reservoir Inflow <sup>2</sup>         |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Yellowstone R at Miles City <sup>2</sup>           |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Powder R at Moorehead                              |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Powder R nr Locate                                 |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |
| Yellowstone R nr Sidney <sup>2</sup>               |                    |              |              |              |       |              |              |                   |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average