

<b>County</b>	Park	<b>Upstream River Mile</b>	506.7
<b>Classification</b>	PCB: Partially confined braided	<b>Downstream River Mile</b>	505
<b>General Location</b>	Through canyon upstream of Livingston	<b>Length</b>	1.70 mi (2.74 km)

## Narrative Summary

Reach PC13 flows through Allenspur Canyon, which is a notch carved through a limestone and sandstone ridge that runs perpendicular to the river. Within this notch, the river bottom is 1,000 to 1,800 feet wide, so that the river is not entirely confined. The reach is largely single thread with large point bars, and has several bank migration sites that have exceeded 200 feet of movement since 1950.

There are about 2,000 feet of bank armor in the reach, which covers about 13 percent of the total bankline. There is also about ½ mile of diking that is concentrated just downstream of Carters Bridge on the west floodplain.

Approaching Livingston, the primary modern land use is exurban, although historically the land was primarily used for agriculture. There are over 80 acres of exurban development in Reach PC13, most of which is on the west floodplain. Only 4 acres of land in the reach are irrigated. There is a ~13 acre fishing access site named Free River on an historic island that offers no boating facilities.

Reach PC13 experienced an ice jam-related flood in January of 2007 which flooded one house in the area.

Reach PC13 has seen a dramatic change in channel form since 1950, as it has shifted from a multi-thread anabranching reach type to a single channel with distinct meanders and open bars. In 1950, this reach had 6,600 feet of anabranching channels that flowed around wooded islands. Since then, the river has consolidated into a single thread and lost virtually all of its side channels. Those side channels were not blocked, but they were abandoned with flow consolidation into a single thread. The size of the channel (bankfull area) has increased by about 20 percent. One large meander in the reach is in the process of cutting off, as a prominent chute channel has formed against the east valley wall.

Numerous structures and a portion of the Highway 89 embankment are located within the CMZ in Reach PC13. A total of 8 percent of the CMZ has been restricted by physical features.

In the early 1960's, a dam was proposed for Allenspur Canyon but was ultimately defeated largely due to local resistance. Allen Spur Dam was proposed as a 380-foot tall dam with a 250,000 watt power plant that would have inundated the Paradise Valley up to 30 miles upstream.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been relatively small in this reach. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,550 cfs to 1,500 cfs with human development, a reduction of 3.2 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach PC13 include:

- Transformation from a multi-thread, anabranching reach type to a single thread channel with open bars.
- Abandonment of over a mile of side channels since 1950 in a 1.7 mile long reach.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach PC13 include:


- CMZ Management due to development pressure in confined reach

The following table summarizes some key CEA results that have been used to describe overall condition and types of human influences affecting the river. The values are specific to this single reach. Blanks indicate that a particular value was not available for this area. This information is consolidated from a large dataset that is presented in more detail in the full reach narrative report.

<b>Discharge</b>	<b>Undev.</b>	<b>Developed</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>"Undeveloped" flows represent conditions prior to significant human development, whereas "developed" flows reflect the current condition of both consumptive and non-consumptive water use.</b>		
2 Year (cfs)	20,300	20,200	-0.5%			
100 Year (cfs)	38,200	38,100	-0.3%			
<b>Bankfull Channel Area (Ac)</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>1976</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>1950-2001</b>	<b>Bankfull channel area is the total footprint of the river inundated at approx. the 2-year flood.</b>
	94.6			109.2	14.6	
<b>Physical Features</b>	<b>2011 Length (ft)</b>	<b>% of Bankline</b>	<b>2001-2011 Change</b>	<b>There are additional types of bank armor such as car bodies and steel retaining walls, but they are relatively minor.</b>		
Rock RipRap	1,240	7.6%	153			
Concrete Riprap	0	0.0%	0			
Flow Deflectors	875	5.3%	-201			
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,115</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>-49</b>			
<b>Length of Side Channels Blocked (ft)</b>	<b>Pre-1950s</b>	<b>Post-1950s</b>	<b>Numerous side channels have been blocked by small dikes.</b>			
	0	0				
<b>Floodplain Turnover</b>	<b>1950 - 1976</b>	<b>1976 - 2001</b>	<b>1950-2001 In-channel riparian encroachment (negative number indicates retreat)</b>	<b>The rate of floodplain turnover reflects how many acres of land are eroded by the river. Turnover is associated with the creation of riparian habitat.</b>		
Total Acres Acres/Year Acres/Year/Valley Mile			acres			
<b>Open Bar Area</b>	<b>Point Bars</b>	<b>Bank Attached</b>	<b>Mid-Channel</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>The type and extent of open sand and gravel bars reflect in-stream habitat conditions that can be important to fish, amphibians, and ground-nesting birds such as least terns.</b>	
Change in Area '50 - '01 (Ac)						
<b>Floodplain Isolation</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>% of FP</b>	<b>Floodplain isolation refers to area that historically was flooded, but has become isolated do to flow alterations or physical features such as levees.</b>			
5 Year 100 Year						
<b>Restricted Migration Area</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>% of CMZ</b>	<b>Channel Migration Zone restrictions refer to the area and percent of the CMZ that has been isolated by features such as bank armor, dikes, levees, and transportation embankments.</b>			
	19.3	8%				
<b>Land Use</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Changes in land use reflect the development of the river corridor through time. The irrigated agricultural are is a sub-set of the mapped agricultural land.</b>	
Agricultural Land (Ac)	291.6	212.4	Flood (Ac)	35.9	4.2	
Ag. Infrastructure (Ac)	1.6	3.9	Sprinkler (Ac)	0.0	0.0	
Exurban (Ac)	5.0	82.1	Pivot (Ac)	0.0	0.0	
Urban (Ac)	0.0	0.0				
Transportation (Ac)	12.3	11.6				
<b>1950s Riparian Vegetation Converted to a Developed Land Use (ac)</b>	<b>To Irrigated</b>	<b>To Other Use</b>	<b>Total Rip. Converted</b>	<b>% of 1950s Rip.</b>	<b>Changes in the extents of riparian vegetation are influenced by land use changes within the corridor.</b>	
<b>National Wetlands Inventory</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Acres per Valley Mi</b>	<b>Total Wetland Acres</b>	<b>Wetlands units summarized from National Wetlands Inventory Mapping include Riverine (typically open water sloughs), Emergent (marshes and wet meadows) and Shrub-Scrub (open bar areas with colonizing woody vegetation).</b>		
Riverine	0.5	0.3	<b>12.2</b>			
Emergent	8.4	6.1				
Scrub/Shrub	3.3	2.4				
<b>Russian Olive (2001) (Appx. 100-yr Floodplain)</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the corridor is fairly recent. Its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor.</b>			
	0.2	0.1%				
<b>Riparian Forest at low risk of Cowbird Parasitism (Ac/Valley Mile)</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>1976</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>Change 1950-2011</b>	<b>Cowbirds are associated with agricultural and residential development, displacing native bird species by parasitizing their nests.</b>	



PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)





## CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE MAP

